

NOTE ON $|\underline{N}, p_n^\alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$ SUMMABILITY FACTORS

Dr. DHIRENDHRA SINGH

Department of Mathematics

Pandit Lalit Mohan Sharma Sri Dev Suman Uttarakhand University Campus Rishikesh
Dehradun

and

Dr. PRAGATI SINHA

Mangalmay Institute of Engineering and Technology Knowledge Park II Greater Noida-
201310

Abstract : In this paper we have proved a theorem for $|\underline{N}, p_n^\alpha, \beta, \delta|_k$ summability.

However our theorem is as follows

THEOREM:

Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive non-increasing sequence such that $p_n^\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p_n^\alpha}{P_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)-k} \frac{1}{p_{n-1}^\alpha} = O\left\{\left(\frac{P_v^\alpha}{p_v^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k)-k} \frac{1}{P_v^\alpha}\right\}.$$

If $\sum a_n$ is $[\underline{N}, p_n; \delta]_k$, bounded and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence such of that non-negative,

non-increasing,

Suchthat $p \sum_n^\alpha \lambda_n < \infty$

and

$$P_n^\alpha \Delta \lambda_n = O(p_n^\alpha \lambda_n),$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\underline{N}, p_n^\alpha, \beta, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$.

1. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

SINGH and SHARMA [8]-Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with s_n for its n-th partial sums. Define

$$p_n^\alpha = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} p_v \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$A_n^\alpha = (n + \alpha n) = \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2)(\alpha + 3) \dots (\alpha + n)}{n}, \alpha > -1$$

and $\{p_n\}$ a sequence of real numbers such that $p_0 > 0, p_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$P_n^\alpha = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v^\alpha.$$

Let $\{t_n^\alpha\}$ be the sequence of (N, p_n^α) mean of the sequence $\{s_n\}$,

$$t_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{P_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v^\alpha s_v, p_n^\alpha \neq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|N^-, p_n^\alpha|_k, 1 \leq k$, if

BOR [1]

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{k-1} |\Delta t_{n-1}^\alpha|^k < \infty, \tag{1.3}$$

and it is said to be summable $|N^-, p_n^\alpha; \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, if BOR [5]

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |\Delta t_{n-1}^\alpha|^k < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\Delta t_{n-1}^\alpha = \frac{p_n^\alpha}{P_n^\alpha P_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n P_v^\alpha a_v, n \geq 1 \tag{1.5}$$

In the special case when $\delta = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, $[N^-, p_n^\alpha; \delta]_k$ summability is that same as $[N^-, p_n]_k$ summability.

Moreover, the series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $[N^-, p_n, \beta; \delta]_k$, $k \geq 1$, $\delta \geq 0$ and $\beta =$ real number, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha} \right)^{\beta(\delta k + k - 1)} |\Delta t_{n-1}^\alpha|^k < \infty$$

However for $p_n = 1$, for all n , the above definition reduces to $[C, 1, \beta; \delta]_k$.

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be $[N^-, p_n]_k$, $k \geq 1$, if BOR [1]

$$\sum_{v=1}^n p_v |s_v|^k = O(P_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.6)$$

and it is said to be $[\underline{N}, p_n; \delta]_k$ bounded, $k \geq 1$, if BOR [3]

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k} p_v |s_v|^k = O(P_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.7)$$

If we take $\delta = 0$, then $[N^-, p_n; \delta]_k$ boundedness is the same as $[N^-, p_n]_k$ boundedness.

Now, we shall define $[N^-, p_n^\alpha, \beta; \delta]_k$ as follows;

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be $[N^-, p_n^\alpha, \beta; \delta]_k$; ($k \geq 1$, $\delta \geq 0$ and β is real number) if

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{p_r}{P_r} \right)^{\beta(\delta k + k) - k} p_v^\alpha |s_v|^k = O(P_n^\alpha), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.8)$$

A sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is said to be convex (ZYGMUND [9]), if $\Delta^2 \lambda_n \geq 0$ for every positive integer n , where $\Delta^2 \lambda_n = \Delta \lambda_n - \Delta \lambda_{n+1}$ and $\Delta \lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$.

2. INTRODUCTION :

BOR [4] has proved the following theorem for $[N^-, p_n]_k$ summability.

THEOREM A :

Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive non-increasing sequence such that $P_n \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\frac{1}{p_n} = O(n) \quad (2.1)$$

If $\sum a_n$ is $[N^-, p_n]_k$ bounded and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a convex sequence such that $\sum p_n \lambda_n$ is convergent, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\underline{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

If we take $k = 1$ in this theorem, then we get a result of CHEN [6].

Later on Theorem A of BOR [4] was proved by SEYHAN [7] under the weaker condition. However his theorem is as follows.

THEOREM B :

Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive non-increasing sequence such that $P_n \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $\sum a_n$ is $[N^-, p_n]_k$ bounded and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of non-negative, non-increasing, and $\sum p_n \lambda_n$ is convergent, $P_n \Delta \lambda_n = O(p_n \lambda_n)$, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\underline{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

3 We shall prove the above theorem for $|\underline{N}, p_n^\alpha, \beta; \delta|_k$ summability.

THEOREM :

Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive non-increasing sequence such that $P_n^\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(8k+k-1)-k} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^\alpha} = O\left\{\left(\frac{P_v^\alpha}{p_v^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(8k+k)-k} \frac{1}{P_v^\alpha}\right\} \quad (3.1)$$

If $\sum a_n$ is $[N^-, p_n; \delta]_k$, bounded and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of non-negative, non-increasing,

$$\sum p_n^\alpha \lambda_n < \infty \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$P_n^\alpha \Delta \lambda_n = O(p_n^\alpha \lambda_n) \quad (3.3)$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|N^-, p_n^\alpha, \beta; \delta|_k, k \geq 1$.

4. We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma :

If $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence such that it is non-negative, non-increasing and $\sum p_n^\alpha \lambda_n$ is convergent, then

$$\sum p_n^\alpha \lambda_n = O(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.1)$$

$$\sum p_n^\alpha \Delta \lambda_n < \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.2)$$

The proof of above lemma follows on the lines of BOR [2].

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM :

Let (T_n^α) denotes the (N^-, p_n^α) mean of the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$.

Then, by definition, we have

$$T_n^\alpha = \frac{1}{P_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v^\alpha \sum_{r=0}^v a_r \lambda_r = \frac{1}{P_n^\alpha} \sum_{v=0}^n (P_n^\alpha - P_{v-1}^\alpha) a_v \lambda_v$$

then, we have

$$T_n^\alpha - T_{n-1}^\alpha = \frac{p_n^\alpha}{P_n^\alpha P_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^n p_{v-1}^\alpha a_v \lambda_v; n \geq 1, (P_{-1}^\alpha = 0)$$

By Abel's transformation we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n^\alpha - T_{n-1}^\alpha &= \frac{-p_n^\alpha}{P_n^\alpha P_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v^\alpha s_v \lambda_v + \frac{p_n^\alpha}{P_n^\alpha P_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v^\alpha s_v \Delta \lambda_v + \frac{p_n^\alpha s_n \lambda_n}{P_n^\alpha} \\ &= T_{n,1}^\alpha + T_{n,2}^\alpha + T_{n,3}^\alpha, \text{ say} \end{aligned}$$

To prove the theorem, by Minkowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha} \right)^{\beta(8k+k-1)} |T_{n,r}^\alpha|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2, 3 \quad (5.1)$$

Since $\lambda_n = O\left(\frac{1}{p_n^\alpha}\right) = O(1)$, by (4.1) and applying Hölder's inequality with indices

k and k' , where $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$ and by using (3.1), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha} \right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)} |T_{n,1}^\alpha|^k \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha} \right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)} \left| \frac{p_{n-1}^\alpha}{P_n^\alpha P_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v^\alpha s_v \lambda_v \right|^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha} \right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)-k} \frac{1}{(P_{n-1}^\alpha)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v^\alpha \lambda_v |s_v| \right\}^k \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha} \right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)-k} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v^\alpha (\lambda_v)^k |s_v|^k \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^\alpha} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v^\alpha \right\}^{k-1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m p_v^\alpha (\lambda_v)^k |s_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha} \right)^{\beta((\delta k+k-1)-k)} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k)-k-1} |s_v|^k (\lambda_v)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m p_n^\alpha |s_v|^k (\lambda_v) (\lambda_v)^{k-1} \left(\frac{P_v^\alpha}{p_v^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k)-k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m p_n^\alpha |s_v|^k (\lambda_v) \left(\frac{P_v^\alpha}{p_v^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k)-k} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta\lambda_v \sum_{z=1}^v \left(\frac{P_z^\alpha}{p_z^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k)-k} p_z^\alpha |s_z|^k \\
&\quad + O(1) \lambda_m \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v^\alpha}{p_v^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k)-k} p_v |s_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta\lambda_v P_v^\alpha + O(1) \lambda_m P_m^\alpha = O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (1.7), (4.1) and (4.2). Again, by using the condition (3.3), as in $T_{n,1}^\alpha$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)} |T_{n,2}^\alpha|^k \leq \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)-k} \frac{1}{(P_{n-1}^\alpha)^k} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} P_v^\alpha \Delta\lambda_v s_v \right|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)-k} \frac{1}{(P_{n-1}^\alpha)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} p_v^\alpha \lambda_v |s_v| \right\}^k \\
&= O(1). \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty; \text{ as in } T_{n,1}^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, as in $T_{n,1}^\alpha$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(8k+k-1)} |T_{n,3}^\alpha|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(8k+k-1)} \left|\frac{p_n^\alpha s_n \lambda_n}{P_n^\alpha}\right|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)-k} |s_n|^k (\lambda_n)^k \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k)-k} p_n^\alpha |s_n|^k (\lambda_n) \end{aligned}$$

$= O(1)$. as $m \rightarrow \infty$; as in $T_{n,1}^\alpha$

Therefore, we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n^\alpha}{p_n^\alpha}\right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)} |T_{n,r}^\alpha|^k = O(1), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for } r = 1,2,3,$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOR, H. : $|\underline{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series; Tamkang J. Math, Vol. 16, (1985), 13-20.
- [2] BOR, H. : Commentationes Mathematicae, XXVII, (1989), 171-174.
- [3] BOR, H. : Pan-Amer Math J. 2, (1992), 33-38.
- [4] BOR, H. : Demonstratio Math., XXV, (1992), 187-192.
- [5] BOR, H. : On the local property of $|\underline{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series; J. Math. Anal Appl. Vol. 179, (1993), 644-649.
- [6] CHEN, M. : Math. Res. Centre nat. Taiwan Univ. (1967), 114-120.
- [7] SEYHAN, H. : Pan-American Math. J., Vol. 9(4), (1999), 23-27.
- [8] SINGH, N. and SHARMA, N. : On $(\underline{N}, p_n^\alpha)$ summability factors theorem of infinite series; Bull-Call. Math. Soc. 92(1), (2000), 25-38.
- [9] ZYGMUND, A. : Trigonometric series, Cambridge University Press, (1979).