

TOPOLOGICALLY CLOSED POSITIVE CONE

G.D. Singh

Dept. of Mathematics, H. D. Jain College, Ara, V.K.S. University, Ara, Bihar, India

ABSTRACT

In this paper a vector lattice E containing subset B of E_+ is studied. It is proved that if there exists a Lebesgue linear topology T on E and E_+ is T -closed then minimal lattice-subspaces with T -closed positive cone exist.

Key words :Hausdorff topological space, vector lattice, Banach lattices.

1. Introduction

In 1966, Polyrakis [5] has studied, supposed that $B = \{X_1, x_2, x\}$ is a finite subset of $C_+(Q)$, where Q is a compact, Hausdorff topological space, the functions X_i are linearly independent and the existence.

In the present paper, the existence of minimal lattice-subspaces of a vector lattice E which contains a

subset B of E_+ is studied. In the theory of Banach lattices (and in applications) we are interested in a latticesubspace of E containing B which is as "close" as possible to the linear subspace $[B]$ generated by B . Such a subspace is the sublattice $S(B)$ generated by B .

It is to be noted that lattice-subspaces have been employed in economics [2], [3].

Let E be a (partially) ordered vector space with positive cone E_+ and X a subspace of E . The cone $X \cap E_+$ will be called the induced cone of X , and the ordering defined in X by this cone the induced ordering. We will denote by X_+ the induced cone of X , i.e., $X_+ = X \cap E_+$. An ordered subspace of E is a subspace of E ordered by the induced cone. A lattice-subspace of E is an ordered subspace of E which is also a vector lattice (Riesz space).

Let X be a lattice-subspace of E . Then, for each $x, y \in X$ we will denote by $x \wedge y$ (resp. $x \vee y$) the supremum (resp. infimum) of $\{x, y\}$ in X . It is clear that

$$x \vee y < x \vee y \text{ and } x \wedge y \leq x \wedge y.$$

whenever $x \vee y, x \wedge y$ exist. If E is a vector lattice and $x \vee y = x \vee y$ for any $x, y \in X$ then X is a sublattice (Riesz subspace) of E . Let E be an ordered Banach space with positive cone E_+ . A sequence $\{e_n\}$ is a positive basis of E if $\{e_n\}$ is a (Schauder) basis of E and $E_+ = \{x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i e_i \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ for each } i\}$. A positive basis $\{e_n\}$ of E is unique (in the sense of a positive multiple). The following result (see [1] or [12]) is very important for the study of finite-dimensional lattice-subspaces.

2. Minimal Lattice-Subspaces

Let E be a vector lattice and $B \subset E_+, B \neq \emptyset$. Let L be the set of lattice-subspaces of E , each of which contains B . If $X \in L$ and for any $Y \in L$ it holds

$$Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow Y = X,$$

then we will say that X is a minimal lattice-subspace of E containing B .

If E is a vector lattice, then the sublattice generated by B is the minimum sublattice containing B .

Even if $E = \mathbb{R}^m$ a minimum lattice-subspace of E containing B does not always exist. So we state the following question :

Problem 1.1 Does a minimal lattice subspace of E containing B exist?

Let P be a cone of a linear space F (i.e. P is a convex subset of F . $Rx \in P$ for each $x \in P$ and $e \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $p_n(-P) = \{O\}$). Suppose that $x, y \in P$. If there exists $z \in P$ with the properties : $z \geq x, z \geq y \in P$ and for each $M' \in$

$p, w \geq x, w \geq y \in P$ imply that $w \geq z \in P$, then we will say that z is the supremum of $\{x, y\}$ in P and we will denote

$$z = \sup p\{x, y\}.$$

The infimum of $\{x, y\}$ in P is defined analogously. If for each $x, y \in P, z = \sup p\{x, y\}$ exists, then $\inf p\{x, y\}$ also exists.

If P is a cone of a linear space F and for each $x, y \in P$ the supremum of $\{x, y\}$ exists in P , then we will say that P is a lattice cone of F .

If $x = \bigvee x_2$ where $x_1, x_2 \in P$, then it is easy to show that $\sup p\{x, O\} = \sup p\{x_1, x_2\} = \bullet$ the supremum of $\{x_1, x_2\}$ in X . Therefore the following result holds.

A cone P of a vector space F is a lattice-cone if and only if the subspace X , ordered by the cone P , is a vector lattice.

In the next results of this paragraph we will suppose that E is a vector lattice equipped with a linear topology T with the properties :

- (i) E_+ is T -closed;
- (ii) each increasing, order bounded net of E has a t -convergent subnet (i.e., ' the topology T is Lebesgue).

Property (i) implies also that T is Hausdorff because if we suppose that $x \in E, x \neq 0$ and $0 \in x$ for each open symmetric neighborhood V of zero, then $0 \in -x + V$; therefore x and $-x$ belong to E_+ and hence $x = 0$, contradiction.

If the topology T is order continuous (i.e., each decreasing net of E with infimum zero is T -convergent to zero) and E is Dedekind complete, then T satisfies (ii). If the order intervals of E are T -compact, the statement (ii) is also satisfied (for related results see [4, Theorem 10.13]). Hence, the weak star topology of a dual Banach lattice and the weak topology of a Banach lattice with order continuous norm [4, Theorem

11.9], have property (ii).

Proposition 1.2. Let $(P_i)_{i \in I}$ be a decreasing net of t -closed lattice cones of E_+ (i.e., $P \subset C$ and $i < j \Rightarrow P_j \subset P_i$). Then $P = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$ is a T -closed lattice cone of E .

Proof. P is a T -closed cone of E_+ . Let $x, y \in P$. Denote by z_i the supremum of $\{x, y\}$ in P_i . For each $i, j \in I$ with $i < j$ we have $P_j \subset P_i \subset E_+$, therefore,

$$x, y \leq z_i \leq z_j$$

Since T has property (ii), there exists a T -convergent subnet of $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$ which we will still denote by $(Z_i)_{i \in I}$. This net is also increasing, and let $z = \lim_{i \in I} Z_i$. Then for each $j \in I$ with $i < j$, we have: $Z_i - X, -y \in P_j \subset P_i$.

Since the cone P_i is T -closed, we have that $z, z - x, z - y \in P_i$,
for each $i \in I$.

Therefore

$$z, z - x, z - y \in P.$$

Suppose that $w \in P$ with $w - x, w - y \in P$. Since $P \subset P_j$ we have that $w - z_j \in P_j \subset P_i$ for each $j \in I$ with $i < j$.

Hence $w - z \in P_i$ for each i ; therefore $w - z \in P$. So we have proved that $z = \text{supp} \{x, y\}$; therefore P is a

lattice cone.

Theorem 1.3. Let $P \subset E^+$ be a cone and let $O(P)$ be the set of t -closed lattice cones of E^+ each of which

contains P . Then $O(P)$ has minimal elements.

Proof. $O(P)$ because $E^+ \in O(P)$ and $O(P)$, ordered by the relation " \supset ", is a partially ordered set. Suppose that F is a totally ordered subset of $(O(P))$. Then by the previous result $Q = \bigcap A$ is a T -closed lattice cone of E . By Zorn's Lemma the theorem is true.

Proposition 1.4. Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a decreasing net of lattice-subspaces of E with T -closed positive cones. Let $X = \bigcap_{i \in I} X_i$, $Y = X^-$ and $Y^+ = Y \cap E^+$. Then

(i) $X^+ = \bigcap_{i \in I} X_i^+$.

(ii) $Y \subset X$, Y^+ and Y is a lattice-subspace of E with T -closed positive cone.

Proof. (i) $X^+ = \bigcap_{i \in I} X_i^+ = \bigcap_{i \in I} (X_i \cap E^+) = X \cap E^+ = X^+$.

(ii) $Y = X^- = \bigcap_{i \in I} X_i^-$. Also $Y^+ = X^+ \cup \{0\} \subset Y$,

therefore $X^+ \subset Y^+$. Hence $X^+ = Y^+$. The net $(X_i^+)_{i \in I}$ is a decreasing net of T -closed lattice cones of E^+ , therefore Y^+ is a T -closed lattice cone. Hence Y is a lattice-subspace of E .

Theorem 1.5. Let $B \subset E^+$ and $I(B) = \{Y \subset E \mid Y \text{ is a lattice-subspace, } Y^+ \text{ is } T\text{-closed and } B \subset Y\}$. Then $I(B)$ has minimal elements.

Proof. The set $I(B)$ is nonempty because it contains E . The set $I(B)$, ordered by the relation " \supset ", is a partially ordered set. Let F be a totally ordered subset of $I(B)$. By the previous proposition there exists $Y \in I(B)$ such that $Y \subset A$ for each $A \in F$. Therefore, by Zorn's Lemma $I(B)$ has minimal elements.

Corollary 1.6. Let E be a Banach lattice with order continuous norm and $B \subset E^+$. Then the set of lattice-subspaces of E with (norm) closed positive cone which contains B has minimal elements.

REFERENCES

- 1 Abramovich, Y. A.; Aliprantis, C. D. and Polyrakis, I. A. (1994): Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. 94 A, no. 2, 237-253. MR 87b:46010.
- [2] Aliprantis, C. D; Brown, D; Polyrakis, I. and Werner, J. (1998): J. Math. Economics 30, 347-366. CMP 99:04.
- [3] Aliprantis, C. D; Brown, D. and Werner, J. : J. Economic Dynamics and Control.
- [4] Aliprantis, C. D. and Burkinshaw, O. (1985) : Positive operators, Academic Press, New York & London, MR 87h : 47086.
- [5] Polyrakis, I. A. (1996) : Trans. American Math. soc. 384, 2793-2810. 96k:46031.