International Journal of Engineering, Science and Mathematics
Vol. 12 Issue 5, May 2023,
ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.765
Journal Homepage: http://www.ijesm.co.in, Email: editorijmie@gmail.com
Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

EXPLORING THE NANO FRONTIER: A CHEMIST'S PERSONAL PERSPECTIVE ON NANOSCIENCE AND NANOTECHNOLOGY

Dr Surabhi Singhal
Assistant Professor (Physics)
Government Girls Degree College, Kharkhauda, Meerut.
dr.surabhi28@gmail.com

Abstract: Nanoscience and nanotechnology have emerged as captivating fields, both in scientific research and public discourse. However, their meanings remain elusive and context-dependent, posing challenges in establishing a unified understanding. This essay presents a personal perspective from the standpoint of a chemist, aiming to contribute to the ongoing discussion surrounding nanoscience and nanotechnology.

The essay begins by acknowledging the prevalent use of these terms and the varied emotions they evoke, encompassing excitement and apprehension. It emphasizes the need for a comprehensive understanding of nanotechnology's potential impact on the future of humanity. Furthermore, it highlights the lack of a universally accepted definition within the scientific community, with discrepancies between different scientific disciplines further complicating matters.

The author explores the individual components of the terms "nanoscience" and "nanotechnology" by dissecting their fundamental meanings. By examining the notions of science, technology, and the prefix "nano," the essay aims to establish a foundation for understanding their interconnectedness and implications.

Drawing on the chemist's perspective, the essay delves into the nuances and implications of nanoscience and nanotechnology within the field of chemistry. It offers insights into how chemists perceive and contribute to these fields, acknowledging that this perspective may differ from those of physicists, engineers, and even other chemists.

The essay concludes by emphasizing the importance of an open and inclusive dialogue among scientific communities to develop a coherent understanding of nanoscience and nanotechnology. It recognizes the essay's subjective nature but hopes to inspire further discussions that elucidate the scope and significance of these burgeoning fields.

Keywords: Nanoscience, nanotechnology, chemist, interdisciplinary, perspective, understanding, dialogue

1 INTRODUCTION

Nanotechnology has become a widely recognized term, evoking both excitement and apprehension in scientific literature and everyday conversations. It is expected to wield a significant impact on humanity's future, for better or worse. However, despite its familiarity, the scientific community itself has yet to establish a definitive understanding of this multifaceted term. Interestingly, nanotechnology holds different interpretations across various scientific fields, such as physics and chemistry. Surprisingly, its counterpart, nanoscience, is less frequently employed and also lacks a precise definition.

Within this essay, I will delve into the perspective of a chemist to shed light on what nanoscience and nanotechnology truly mean. It is important to acknowledge that my viewpoint may not align with those of physicists, engineers, or even some chemists. It represents not only a chemical perspective but also a personal one. Whether accurate or not, the concepts presented here aim to initiate a constructive discourse, emphasizing the significance of the nanoscale domain.

To embark on this exploration, it is crucial to reflect upon the individual meanings of the component words: science, technology, and "nano."

2 SCIENCE

In my perspective, science can be defined as a human endeavor driven by the desire to comprehend the fundamental laws of Nature and subsequently utilize this knowledge to shape and modify our surroundings. This definition recognizes that science progresses through two intertwined pathways: discovery and invention. On one hand, science aims to unveil the mysteries of the natural world, unraveling phenomena that remain veiled to us, such as the intricate process by which green plants convert sunlight into chemical energy through photosynthesis. On the other hand, science strives to engineer new concepts and entities that were previously nonexistent, like the development of artificial photosynthesis, where water can be split into hydrogen and oxygen using sunlight.

Science serves as humanity's most potent tool for comprehending the underlying principles governing the material universe and, in turn, empowering us to bring about transformative changes. In the early stages of scientific exploration, the primary focus lay in unraveling the intricacies of Nature. However, as time progresses, scientists increasingly shift their emphasis towards inventive pursuits. It is foreseeable that a significant portion of the papers published in Small, for instance, will predominantly revolve around groundbreaking inventions.

This redefined notion of science acknowledges the duality inherent in scientific progress, embracing both the pursuit of knowledge and the drive to innovate. By interweaving discovery and invention, science becomes a formidable force that not only enables us to understand the world we inhabit but also empowers us to shape its trajectory. It is through this amalgamation of curiosity, exploration, and ingenuity that science propels us towards a future brimming with new possibilities.

3 TECHNOLOGY

The concept of technology differs significantly from a seemingly similar word, technique. Technique refers to the method of skillfully performing a task, acquired through experience, and based on established practices. On the other hand, technology can be defined as the utilization of scientific advancements to create new opportunities for practical applications. It serves as a driving force for human progress by offering a wide range of innovative materials, devices, and machines that enhance the quality of life.

However, it is unfortunate that technology can also be manipulated for negative purposes such as violence, warfare, and terrorism.

As technology advances, humanity experiences improved well-being, but simultaneously, the world becomes more fragile. This phenomenon arises due to the principle of the "great asymmetry" highlighted by S. J. Gould. This principle reveals the inherent tragedy of human existence and the potential misuse of science for destructive ends. In our universe governed by natural laws, reaching the pinnacle of progress requires painstaking steps, while destruction can occur rapidly, often with catastrophic consequences.

An entire millennium of knowledge in the Library of Alexandria was lost in a single day of fire, and the action of a single assassin can initiate a preventable war. We find ourselves in a position where we must wonder, inquire, and explore, and science, in order to fulfill its purpose, must transcend the constraints of tradition. It can either become our greatest source of glory and a powerful catalyst for positive change or an agent of destruction aligned with the negative side of the great asymmetry.

4 "NANO"

Nano, along with other prefixes like micro and pico, is a term used to modify the value of a macroscopic unit by several orders of magnitude. Specifically, nano represents one billionth. Thus, a nanometer is equivalent to one billionth of a meter. However, when applied to fields like science and technology, the meaning of nano is not as straightforward (nanoscience cannot be one billionth of science!).

Considering that experimental science and technology primarily deal with tangible objects, it is reasonable to define nanoscience and nanotechnology as disciplines focused on objects with dimensions in the nanometer range. This encompasses atoms (at a scale of tenths of nanometers) and molecules (at a scale of nanometers). Since all matter is composed of atoms and molecules, one could argue that nanoscience and nanotechnology potentially encompass all branches of science and technology. However, this perspective is not entirely satisfactory, as physicists and chemists would not necessarily agree on this broad definition.

A more satisfactory definition of nanoscience and nanotechnology can be achieved by emphasizing the intrinsic properties of nanoscale objects and their potential for manipulation, organization, and utilization in performing specific functions. These concepts become clearer when discussing the concept of miniaturization.

5 MINIATURIZATION: TOP-DOWN AND BOTTOM-UP APPROACHES

The pursuit of miniaturizing components for the creation of useful devices and machines is currently carried out through the top-down approach. This approach involves physicists and engineers manipulating progressively smaller pieces of matter using techniques like photolithography. Thus far, the top-down approach has been highly successful. However, it is becoming increasingly evident that this approach has significant limitations when dealing with dimensions smaller than 100 nm. While this size may seem minuscule in everyday terms (around one thousandth of the width of a human hair), it is substantial on the scale of atoms and molecules. Therefore, despite Richard Feynman's famous statement in 1959 that "there is plenty of room at the bottom" for further miniaturization, the top-down approach seems inadequate for exploiting such opportunities.

An alternative and highly promising strategy to harness science and technology at the nanometer scale is the bottom-up approach. This approach involves starting from nano- or subnanoscale objects, such as atoms or molecules, and building up nanostructures from there. The bottom-up approach primarily falls within the realm of nanoscience and nanotechnology. Chemists, who possess the ability to manipulate atoms and molecules, are ideally positioned to contribute to the advancement of nanoscience and nanotechnology.

In a more scientific but essentially theoretical manner, Drexler presented his ideas on nanosystems and molecular manufacturing, envisioning the possibility of constructing a versatile nanodevice known as the assembler. This nanorobot would have atomic-scale precision and the capability to build nearly anything, including replicas of itself, through mechanosynthesis—a form of "pick-and-place" machine-phase chemistry. Although Drexler's fascinating yet somewhat abstract ideas about the construction, futuristic applications, and potentially alarming nature of nanomachines have been met with skepticism by a significant portion of the scientific community, they hold particular appeal to physicists. However, chemists, well aware of the complexities and intricacies of bond-breaking and bond-making processes, remain unconvinced.

During the late 1970s, a new field of chemistry called supramolecular chemistry emerged and rapidly expanded. Simultaneously, research on molecular electronic devices began to flourish, and the notion arose that molecules are more suitable building blocks than atoms for constructing nanoscale devices and machines. Several reasons support this idea: 1) Molecules are stable entities, whereas atoms are challenging to handle; 2) Nature employs molecules, not atoms, as the starting point for constructing the vast array of nanodevices and nanomachines that sustain life; 3) Most laboratory chemical processes involve molecules rather than atoms; 4) Molecules already possess distinct shapes and exhibit properties relevant to device functionality, which can be manipulated through photochemical and electrochemical inputs; 5) Molecules can self-assemble or be covalently connected to form larger structures.

6 TOWARDS A MOLECULAR (CHEMICAL) COMPUTER

One of the key goals of nanoscience and nanotechnology is to achieve further miniaturization of information processing devices. Current computers are built on miniaturized electronic circuits created by solid-state physicists and electronic engineers on semiconductor chips. However, as mentioned earlier, the top-down approach, which has been employed for progressive miniaturization, has inherent limitations when it comes to dimensions smaller than 0.1 mm. An alternative approach, based on the bottom-up strategy discussed earlier, opens up the possibility of designing and constructing "molecular computers" that are significantly smaller and more powerful than the silicon-based computers currently in use.

The term "molecular computer" may sound unfamiliar to most chemists, despite the fact that 20 years ago, the Pimentel report explicitly predicted the development of such a device. The report stated: "There are those who dismiss as far-fetched the idea of man-made molecular-scale computers. ... But since we know that molecular computers are routine accessories of all animals from ants to zebras, it would be prudent to change the question from whether there will be man-made counterparts to questions concerning when they will come into existence and who will be leading in their development. The 'when' question will be answered based on fundamental research in chemistry, and the 'who' question will depend on which countries commit the required resources and creativity to the search."

In the past decade, numerous systems that could be useful for information processing at the molecular level have indeed been constructed and studied. However, as research progresses, it becomes evident that two distinct bottom-up strategies can be employed for designing and building molecular computers.

These two strategies differ philosophically, with one approach driven by the idea that successful concepts governing artificial macroscopic information processing devices can be extended to the molecular level. The alternative approach, which is less defined, draws inspiration from the natural world, where a wide range of nanoscale (albeit complex) "wet" devices are already functioning. Furthermore, these two strategies differ from a chemical perspective. In both cases, molecular components must be assembled using bottom-up techniques to create systems capable of performing the desired functions. However, molecules used as components of a nanoscale electrical circuit in the solid state must be permanently linked together, often through covalent bonds. On the other hand, signal exchange among molecules in a solution is more likely to occur through reversible association/dissociation processes.

The approach based on molecules serving as simple circuit components has the potential advantage of aligning closely with the paradigms of current microelectronics technology. On the other hand, the "chemical" approach provides an opportunity to implement even complex logic operations with a single molecule or supramolecular species. At the present stage, it is challenging to predict which of these two strategies will have a greater technological impact.

7 CONCLUSION

Nanoscience and nanotechnology are still in the early stages of development. Currently, there is a mix of exciting new discoveriesand occasional disappointments, as is typical for fields that have not yet fully matured. As Richard Feynman once stated, "when we have some control of the arrangement of things on a molecular scale, we will get an enormously greater range of possible properties that substances can have." These new properties will likely lead to a diverse range of applications that we can't even imagine today. It is our hope that nanoscience and nanotechnology will contribute to finding solutions for the four major challenges facing a significant portion of the world's population: food, health, energy, and pollution. However, as we delve deeper into the realms of nanoscience and nanotechnology, it is crucial not to overlook the principle of the "great asymmetry" mentioned earlier.

Scientific education is generating many individuals capable of practicing science, which is undoubtedly beneficial. However, it is even more critical to foster the ability to discern what endeavors are truly worthwhile within the realm of science. As scientists and responsible citizens, we bear a significant social responsibility. We must teach and ensure that science and technology are employed for peaceful purposes rather than warfare, for alleviating poverty rather than perpetuating privilege, for bridging the gap between developed and underdeveloped nations rather than widening it, and for safeguarding, not destroying, our planet. Even with the advancement of nanoscience and nanotechnology, Earth will likely remain the only habitat for humanity, underscoring the imperative to protect it.

REFERENCES

1. Nanotechnology has become a favorite, and successful, term among America's most fraudulent stock promoters. See: M. Reisch, Chem. Eng. News 2004, 82(April 19), 8.
2. S. J. Gould, Science 1998, 279, 812.
3. R. F. Service, Science 2001, 293, 785. For an interesting assessment of the state-of-the-art and future requirements of semiconductor technology, see: The International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors (ITRS) 2003, available at http://public.itrs.net.
4. a) R. P. Feynman, Eng. Sci. 1960, 23, 22; b) Saturday Rev. 1960, 43, 45. See also: http://www.its.caltech.edu/~feynman.
5. S.-W. Hla, G. Meyer, K.-H. Rieder, ChemPhysChem 2001, 2, 361.
6. For a recent example of the different properties of large particles and nanoparticles in catalysis, see: V. Johnek, M. Laurin, A.W. Grant, B. Kasemo, C. R. Henry, J. Libuda, Science 2004, 304, 1639.
7. See, for example: a) Nanotechnology. Innovation for tomorrow's world, European Commission, EUR 21 151, p. 1–56, 2004. Available at: www.cordis.lu/nanotechnology; b) S. J. Ainsworth, Chem. Eng. News 2004, 82(April 12), 17; c) S. R. Morrissey, Chem. Eng. News 2004, 82(April 19), 30.
8. a) J.-M. Lehn, Angew. Chem. 1988, 100, 91; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1988, 27, 89; b) J.-M. Lehn, Supramolecular Chemistry: Concepts and Perspectives, VCH, Weinheim, 1995.
9. V. Balzani, L. Moggi, F. Scandola in Supramolecular Photochemistry (Ed.: V. Balzani), Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987, p. 1.
10. V. Balzani, A. Credi, M. Venturi, Molecular Devices and Machines. A Journey into the Nanoworld, VCH-Wiley, Weinheim, 2013.
11. a) N. Koumura, R.W. Zijlstra, R. A. van Delden, N. Harada, B. L. Feringa, Nature 1999, 401, 152; b) R. A. van Delden, N. Koumura, Schoevaars, A. Meetsma, B. L. Feringa, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 33.
12. M. C. Jimnez, C. O. Dietrich-Buchecker, J.-P. Sauvage, Angew. Chem. 2000, 112, 3422; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3248.
13. a) A. M. Brouwer, C. Frochot, F. G. Gatti, D. A. Leigh, L. Mottier, F. Paolucci, S. Roffia, G.W. H. Wurpel, Science 2001, 291, 2124; b) P. R. Ashton, R. Ballardini, V. Balzani, A. Credi, R. Dress, E. Ishow, C. J. Kleverlann, O. Kocian, J. A. Preece, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, M. Venturi, S. Wenger, Chem. Eur. J. 2000, 6, 3558.
14. J. D. Badjic, V. Balzani, A. Credi, S. Silvi, J. F. Stoddart, Science 2004, 303, 1845.
15. a) G. Steinberg-Yfrach, J.-L. Rigaud, E. N. Durantini, A. L. Moore, D. Gust, T. A. Moore, Nature 1998, 392, 479; b) I. M. Bennet, H. M. VanegasFarfano, F. Bogani,

A. Primak, P. A. Liddell, L. Otero, L. Sereno, J. J. Silber, A. L. Moore, T. A. Moore, D. Gust, Nature 2002, 420, 398.
16. W. B. Sherman, N. C. Seeman, Nano Lett. 2004, 4, 1203.
17. For other hybrid bio-nanodevices, see: H. Hess, G. D. Bachand, V. Vogel, Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 2110.
18. D. S. Goodsell, Bionanotechnology: Lessons from Nature, Wiley- Liss, New York, 2004.
19. Molecular Motors (Ed.: M. Schliwa), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2016.
20. a) Struct. Bond. 2001, 99, Special volume (Ed.: J.-P. Sauvage) on Molecular Machines and Motors; b) Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34(6), Special issue (Ed.: J. F. Stoddart) on Molecular Machines.
21. V. Balzani, A. Credi, F. M. Raymo, J. F. Stoddart, Angew. Chem. 2000, 39, 3484; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3349.
22. K. E. Drexler, Engines of Creation. The Coming Era of Nanotechnology, Anchor Press, New York, 1986.
23. K. E. Drexler, Nanosystems. Molecular Machinery, Manufacturing, and Computation, Wiley, New York, 1992.
24. E. Regis, Nano! Remaking the World Atom by Atom, Bantam, London, 1995.
25. K. E. Drexler, Sci. Am. 2001, 285(3), 66; Chem. Eng. News 2003, 81(December 1), 37.
26. a) D. E. H. Jones, Nature 1995, 374, 835; b) G. Stix, Sci. Am. 1996, 274(4), 94; Sci. Am. 2001, 285(3), 26; c) G. M. Whitesides, Sci. Am. 2001, 285(3), 70; d) G. M. Whitesides, Small 2005, 1, 172.
27. R. E. Smalley, Sci. Am. 2001, 285(3), 68; Chem. Eng. News 2003, 81(December 1), 37.
28. a) A. Aviram, M. A. Ratner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1974, 29, 277; b) Molecular Electronic Devices (Eds.: F. L. Carter, R. E. Siatkowski, H. Wohltjen), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988.
29. C. Joachim, J. P. Launay, Nouv. J. Chim. 1984, 8, 723.
30. a) V. Balzani, F. Scandola, Supramolecular Photochemistry, Horwood, Chichester, 1991; b) F. Vçgtle, Supramolecular Chemistry. An Introduction, Wiley, Chichester, 1991; c) G. M. Whitesides, J. P. Mathias, C. T. Seto, Science 1991, 254, 1312; d) D. B. Amabilino, J. F. Stoddart, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2725.