

---

**MATHEMATICAL SUPPLY CHAIN INVENTORY MODEL FOR TWO WAREHOUSE DESIGN UNDER HEALTH CARE INDUSTRY**

**GEETA RANI**

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics

FGM Govt College Adampur

---

**ABSTRACT**

This paper considers an introduction stock model with coordinated accept purchases for something. Aspects are composed in a specific phase message structure. Aggregated structure makes the best of faulty things. This huge number of incomplete substances turns into a relative circle. The coercive process covers the case that restoration is complete and immateriality is not permitted.

The timing of things hurting is largely inevitable at some stage in maximum supportive manufacturing processes. These incomplete satisfying cases can be changed and fixed from time to time, so that the normal introduction fee for the maximum element can be reduced.

---

**KEYWORDS:**

Economic production quantity; EPQ; defective items; cycle time;

*Copyright © 2020 International Journals of Multidisciplinary Research Academy. All rights reserved.*

---

**1. INTRODUCTION** The key improvement system and goal of most collecting corporations is to seek excessive success for the patron's inquiries and exchange in an immaterial fee maker. In order to meet these goals, the association must have a decision on exactly how to use the property and cutoff fees.

Arrival Combination (EPQ) related to coins is reliably concerned with the assistance of experts in the field of arrival and inventory association to help them select the preference on arrival element with variant estimation.

Admirable epq version expects all lots created to be a regular stock giving approach to remarkable extraordinary and pleasant issue interest. But the timing of bad things being

controllable along with the wild elements in the actual advent time is definite and the bad movement in the creation cycle cannot be ignored.

Harmful things can be expressed in the maximum number of consecutive occasions in each instance of the introduction. There are obviously many activities in which the defective best things manufactured must be carried forward or frozen with higher fees. Along with all these things, the losses in the introduction cycle also cannot be ignored. Occurrences of such situations include: published circuit load-up gate-collectively (PCBA) in PCBA fabricating, plastic objects inside the plastic implantation outlining method and manufacturing cycles in exceptional undertakings, for example, designs, fabric, metal Elements that ultimately use change as a first rate cycle concerning the degree of critical value.

The EOQ version turned out to be a very numerical model, introduced several years fast, via Harris (2013), to help reduce a company's inventory prices. This changes the way inventory is maintained and pastime expenses translated to a suitable inquiry for the total. Despite its simplicity, the EOQ model has already been implemented enterprise-wide nowadays. Within a conurbation district, when cases are built inside rather than obtained from an outside company, the EPQ model introduced by Maal (2014) is often used to nail down the exact build package length that Intro/ends around stock prices. It is commonly called the limited production version because of the idea that the arrival rate must necessarily exceed the credit value.

Jamal et al. (2014) proposed a version that manages the appropriate social opportunity combination in a particular level framework by addressing two Earth common ways to limit the rate of aggregate size exchange, yet their models coordinate Don't consider the delay. Along those lines, this paper develops an EPQ-type inventory variant with coordinate ious to fulfill EPQs that are created in a single-level manufacturing framework that creates defective satisfactory items and stores these defective Things are modified at the close of the cycle.

## **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Several tests have been conducted to determine the problems with the fault-friendly EPQ model. Or 3 researchers examine the impact of flawed great design on wealth creation fashion.

Yang (2012) focused on the effect of faulty good things on the restricted introduction version. When the introduction is closed, it is common for the wrong things to be fixed for a fixed fee. The level of defective pleasant things is treated as a spurious variable with an accepted probability thickness pictures. Precise operating arrangement that limits total inventory value per unit time in which wishes are accepted and set for IOU. Chang (2010) do not explain in their paper which factor within the cycle may be correct to promote defective cases.

Fogliatto (2012) considered a limited introduction variant with an irregular defective rate; Converting scrap, repairable defective items and copying to accumulate an ideal running philosophy with component size and setting in put off tiers generally limiting inventory costs.

Salvador et al. (2009) gave a size to work with the reduced comparison, trade, and excuse conditions in the EPQ version. The gateway part is fundamental for promoting damaged goods, as this wish can affect the stock rate and mass aggregate, he said.

Of late, Krishnan et al. (2011) nullified the effect of affiliation phase perturbation on absolute part length preference of the EPQ model with alternative.

Liou et al. (2010) The considered structure is faulty and points to a fault on a constant charge. The damage should be redone and the whole component within the cycle should be studied excellently.

Martikainen et al. (2014) consider a new framework in which defective cases either proceed to become abnormal cases or scrap. Defective items are always segregated so that they are no longer passed through in inventory.

Peng (2011) focused on the appropriate stock recharging method in addition to long-run manufacturing inventory expenses. There was little confidence in the field of mis-satisfying EPQ variant with machine breakdown in social event, retry and storage time, closing the ideal response to the two stock strategies proposed by

Zhu (2009). proposed an arithmetic method that includes 4 neat logarithmic advances, plus numerical manipulations to calculate the degree of real features for defective level, the close growth and cost area for hard stock rate for 2 blueprints.

Liu (2013) enables an EPQ variant with coordinated deferred purchases to single out EPQs, which are made in a single-level manufacturing framework that creates defective exceptional items and this is notable. The type constraint is modified to one. Approximately the same cycle is further spread to the degree of reasonably feasible additions to the level of damaged goods for which this is a truly ideal union and local manufacturing for the full cost of inventory layout.

Shao et al. (2013) considered a stock method for a retailer that controls the nature of breaking defective excellent items in development in one piece and satisfactory yield in segments. The results were proved with the help of a mathematical version and are familiar in addition to providing administrative reports in the preparation of accountability evaluations.

### **Assumptions**

A form of product in a unmarried degree production gadget is taken into consideration.

1 manufacturing price is consistent and more than call for price

2 percentage of faulty is steady and most effective one sort of defective is generated in every lot

3 defective samples at the manufacturing technique are reworkable

4 all orders should be fulfilled

5 back logging accepted

### **Notations:**

R – prod rate

G –rate of demand

g –defective prod. costing

$S_1$  –inventory at  $t_1$

$S_2$  –inventory at  $t_2$

$R^*$  – prod optimal size

CF<sub>0</sub> – pricing of set up

Fh – pricing of holding

FR –reworking pricing

FS – pricing of shortaging

**Mathematical model**

GT = S, therefore, T = S/G and S = Rt1

therefore,

$$t1 = S/R \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

S1/t1 represents qty of good items:

$$S1 = (R - G - g) t1 - A = (R - G - g) (S/R) - A \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

To produce S1 units of items, we need t1 Time

$$t1 = \frac{S1}{R-G-g} = \frac{(R-G-g)\left(\frac{S}{R}\right) - A}{R-G-g} = \frac{S}{R} - \frac{A}{R-G-g} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

For reworking the defective items, t2 time is needed

$$t2 = \frac{MU}{R} = \frac{OJ}{R} = \frac{S}{R} - \frac{zS}{R} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Qty of Items to be remained after consumption (S2)

$$S2 = S1 + NU = S1 + (R - G)t2$$

$$= (R - G - g) \frac{S}{R} - A + \frac{(R-G)zS}{R} \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

For the production of S2 qty of items, we need time t3

$$t3 = \frac{S2}{G} = \frac{1}{G} (R - G) \frac{S}{R} - Sz - A + \frac{(R-G)zS}{R} \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Shortage time

$$t_4 = \frac{B}{G} \text{ and } t_5 = \frac{A}{R-G-g} \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

Inventory during cycle time of production:

$$T = t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5$$

$$= \frac{S}{R} - \frac{A}{R-G-g} + \frac{zS}{R} + \frac{(R-G)S}{RG} - \frac{Sz}{G} - \frac{A}{G} + \frac{(R-G)zS}{RG} + \frac{A}{G} + \frac{A}{R-G-g} \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

The evaluation of avg. inventory:

$$I = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \frac{1}{2} S_1 t_1 + S_1 t_2 + \frac{1}{2} (S_2 - S_1) t_3 + \frac{1}{2} t_3 S_2 \right]$$

The avg inventory during shortage period:

$$I_s = \frac{1}{T} \left[ \frac{1}{2} A t_4 + \frac{1}{2} A t_5 \right] = \frac{B^2(R-G)}{2T(R-G-g)} = \frac{B^2 R(1-z)}{2S(R-G-g)} \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

Table 1: Variation in rate of defective items with rework and shortages

z	S	T	Cost for Setup	Cost for Holding	cost of rework	shortage cost	Total cost
0.01	1051.39	0.1752	570.67	435.86	600	134.81	601,741.34
0.02	1,092.90	0.1821	548.99	412.90	1200	136.09	602,297.99

0.03	1,139.85	0.1899	526.38	389.54	1800	136.84	602,852.77
0.04	1,193.48	0.1989	502.73	365.76	2400	136.97	603,405.46
0.05	1,255.46	0.2092	477.91	341.55	3000	136.36	603,955.83
0.06	1,328.09	0.2213	451.78	316.91	3600	134.86	604,503.55
0.07	1,414.72	0.2357	424.11	291.83	4200	132.28	605,048.22
0.08	1,520.37	0.2534	394.64	266.27	4800	128.36	605,589.28
0.09	1,653.01	0.2755	362.97	240.19	5400	122.78	606,125.95
0.10	1,826.33	0.3044	328.53	213.51	6000	115.01	606,657.05

Note: Production cost: 600,000

From Table 1, It can be seen that as the movement of inferior goods increases then the ideal mix, duration of the method, as well as rising cost, requirement price and overall price increases even as planning fee and conservation cost decreases.

Similarly, there may be good correlation between the speed of missing items with ideal combination, process time period, developed bed, requirement fee and total fee and terrible dating between the speed of unfit items with planning cost and maintenance cost.

## CONCLUSION

One of the things missing for the duration of the most important introduction techniques is the timing of which definitely matters. Those faulty high quality items can be rectified and pasted from time to time, thus reducing the normal arrival charges to an exceptionally significant degree. A vast majority of consistently inadequately pleasant stock models, however, have not been able to manage such vast mentally aggregated situations, including both confusing manufacturing and a damaged screening process.

This paper considers a manufacturing stock variant with coordinated delayed purchases for a single item. The issue shaping is done at the same level. The aggregated size makes

speckled things nicer. This amazing amount of items that hurt turns in an almost equal cycle. It formulates two inventory models for two utilitarian game-plans. The focal approach highlights the change is complete and no deficiencies are allowed.

To accomplish this objective, a numerical model is built. The correct construction % size that limits the full is no longer fully organized. This edition is given to gather important and enjoyable occasions to have a wonderful union. An illustrative model is given and adopted.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Yang, M. Dong, and X.-K. Chang, "A dynamic constraint satisfaction approach for configuring structural products under mass customization," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1723–1737, 2012.
2. D.-C. Li, F. M. Chang, and S.-C. Chang, "The relationship between affecting factors and mass-customisation level: the case of a pigment company in Taiwan," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 48, no. 18, pp. 5385–5395, 2010.
3. F. S. Fogliatto, G. J. C. Da Silveira, and D. Borenstein, "The mass customization decade: an updated review of the literature," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 138, no. 1, pp. 14–25, 2012.
4. F. Salvador, P. M. Holan, and F. Piller, "Cracking the code of MC," *MIT Sloan Management Review*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 71–78, 2009.
5. H. Krishnan and R. A. Winter, "On the role of revenue-sharing contracts in supply chains," *Operations Research Letters*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 28–31, 2011.
6. J. Liou and L. Yen, "Using decision rules to achieve MC of airline services," *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 205, no. 3, pp. 690–686, 2010.
7. Martikainen, P. Niemi, and P. Pekkanen, "Developing a service offering for a logistical service provider—case of local food supply chain," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 157, no. 1, pp. 318–326, 2014.
8. Ren, and Y. Peng, "An emergency order allocation model based on multi-provider in two-echelon logistics service supply chain," *Supply Chain Management*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 391–400, 2011.
9. S. Li, Z. Zhu, and L. Huang, "Supply chain coordination and decision making under consignment contract with revenue sharing," *International Journal of Production Economics*, vol. 120, no. 1, pp. 88–99, 2009.
10. W.-H. Liu, X.-C. Xu, and A. Kouhpaenejad, "Deterministic approach to the fairest revenue-sharing coefficient in logistics service supply chain under the



- stochastic demand condition,” *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 41–52, 2013
11. X.-F. Shao, “Integrated product and channel decision in mass customization,” *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 30–45, 2013.
12. Zhu, and Y. Liu, “A determination method of optimal customization degree of logistics service supply chain with mass customization service,” *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, vol. 2014, Article ID 212574, 14 pages, 2014.