

## **The study of customer behavior on non serial queues attached with multiple parallel serial queues**

**Meenu Gupta, Asstt. Prof.,  
Dr. B.R.Ambedkar Government College, Kaithal(136027) Haryana– India  
DOI:ijmra.ijesm.22897.22765**

### **ABSTRACT:**

This paper considers the most appropriate & more general queuing model in respect of customers which are allowed to leave the system at any stage with or without getting service. The paper considers the steady-state behavior of the queuing processes when  $M$  service channels in series, are linked with  $N$  non-serial channels having balking & reneging phenomenon, wherein:

- Each of  $M$  service channels has identical multiple parallel channels.
- Poisson arrivals & exponential service times are followed.
- The service discipline follows SIRO rule (service in random order) instead of FIFO rule (first in first out).
- The customer becomes impatient in the queue after sometime and may leave the system without service.
- Customer behavior ,Balking and reneging is allowed only on  $N$  non serial servers.
- Waiting space is infinite.

**Key Words:** Poisson stream, Reneging, Balking, Traffic intensity.

### **INTRODUCTION:**

The solutions of serial and non-serial queuing processes with reneging and balking phenomenon have been studied by [4]. The steady-state solution of serial and non-serial queuing processes with reneging and balking due to long queue and some urgent message and feedback phenomenon is obtained by [3]. In our present society, the impatient customers generate the most appropriate and modern models in the queuing theory. Incorporating this concept, we study the steady-state analysis of general queuing system in the sense that:

- $M$  service channels in series are linked with  $N$  non serial channels having reneging and balking phenomenon where each of  $M$  service channels has identical multiple parallel channels.
- The input process is Poisson and the service time distribution is exponential.
- The service discipline follows SIRO-rule(service in random order) instead of FIFO-rule (first in first out)
- The customer becomes impatient in queue after sometime and may leave the system without getting service.
- The input process depends upon the queue size in non serial channels.
- Customer behavior ,Balking and reneging is allowed only on  $N$  non serial servers.
- Waiting space is infinite.

## FORMULATION OF MODEL:

The system consists of  $Q_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) service phases where each service phase  $Q_i$  has  $c_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) identical parallel service facilities and  $Q_{1j}$  channels ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) with respective servers  $S_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) and  $S_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ). Customers demanding different types of service arrive from outside the system in Poisson distribution with parameters  $\lambda_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) at  $Q_i$  service phase and  $\lambda_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) at  $Q_{1j}$  service phase respectively. But the sight of long queue at  $Q_{1j}$ , may discourage the fresh customers from joining it and may decide not to enter the service channel  $Q_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) then the Poisson input rate  $\lambda_{1j}$  would be  $\frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1}$  where  $m_j$  is the queue size of  $Q_{1j}$ . Further, the impatient customers

joining any service channel  $Q_{1j}$  may leave the queue without getting service after a wait of certain time. The service time distribution for the server  $S_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) and  $S_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) are mutually independent negative exponential distribution with  $\mu_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ) and  $\mu_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) respectively. After the completion of service at  $Q_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ), the customers either leave the system with probability  $p_i$  or join the next phase with probability  $q_i$  such that  $p_i + q_i = 1$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M-1$ ). After completion of service at  $Q_M$ , the customers either leave the system with probability  $p_M$  or join any of the  $Q_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) with probability  $\frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j + 1}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ) such that  $p_M + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j + 1} = 1$ .

If the customers are more than  $c_i$  in the  $Q_i$  service phase, all the  $c_i$  servers will remain busy and each is putting out the service at mean rate  $\mu_i$  and thus the mean service rate at  $Q_i$  is  $c_i \mu_i$ , on the other hand if the number of customers is less than  $c_i$  in the  $Q_i$  service phase, only  $n_i$  out of the  $c_i$  servers will be busy and thus the mean service rate at  $Q_i$  is  $n_i \mu_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ). It is assumed that the service commences instantaneously when the customer arrives at an empty service channel.

## FORMULATION OF EQUATIONS:

Define  $P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_N; t)$  as the probability that at time 't', there are  $n_i$  customers (which may renege or after being serviced by the  $Q_i$  phase either leave the system or join the next service phase) waiting in the  $Q_i$  service phase ( $i=1,2,\dots,M$ ),  $m_j$  customers (which may balk or renege or after being serviced leave the system) waiting before the servers  $S_{1j}$  ( $j=1,2,\dots,N$ ).

We define the operators  $T_i$  and  $T_{1j}$  to act upon the vector  $\tilde{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M)$  and  $T_{j,j+1}$  and  $T_j$  to act upon the vector  $\tilde{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_N)$  as follows:

$$T_i(\tilde{n}) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_M)$$

$$T_{i,i}(\tilde{n}) = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_M)$$

$$T_{j,j+1}(\tilde{m}) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_j + 1, m_{j+1} - 1, \dots, m_N)$$

$$T_j(\tilde{m}) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_j - 1, \dots, m_N)$$

$$T_{j,j}(\tilde{m}) = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_j + 1, \dots, m_N)$$

Following the procedure given by [4], we write difference differential equations as :

$$\frac{dP(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}; t)}{dt} = - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i)(\mu_{in_i} + \delta_{n_i - c_i} r_{in_i}) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j) \{ (\mu_{1j}) + R_{jm_j} \} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}; t) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m}); t) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta_{n_i - c_i}(r_{in_{i+1}}) P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_{i+1}(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \\ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}; t) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{Mn_M+1} \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m}); t) \\ + \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + R_{jm_j+1}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m}); t) \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

for  $n_i \geq 0$ ,  $m_j \geq 0$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ), ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ).

Where

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{when } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(n_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n_i = 0 \\ 1 & \text{when } n_i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{(n-c)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n < c \\ 1 & \text{when } n \geq c \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_{in_i} = \begin{cases} n_i \mu_i & \text{when } 1 \leq n_i < c_i \\ c_i \mu_i & \text{when } n_i \geq c_i \end{cases}$$

$$r_{in_i} = \mu_i e^{-\frac{\mu_i T_{0i}}{n_i}} / (1 - e^{-\frac{\mu_i T_{0i}}{n_i}}) \quad ; i = 1, 2, \dots, M$$

$$R_{jm_j} = \mu_{1j} e^{-\frac{\mu_{1j} T_{0j}}{m_j}} / (1 - e^{-\frac{\mu_{1j} T_{0j}}{m_j}})$$

Where  $r_{in_i}$  and  $R_{jm_j}$  are the average rates at which the customers renege after a wait of certain time  $T_{0i}$  and  $T_{0j}$  whenever there are  $n_i$  and  $m_j$  customers in the  $Q_i$  and  $Q_{1j}$  service phases respectively and  $P(\tilde{m}, \tilde{n}; t) = 0$  if any of the arguments is negative

**Steady-State Equations:**

We write the steady-state equations of the queuing model by equating the time derivative to zero in the equation (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i)(\mu_{in_i} + \delta_{n_i - c_i} r_{in_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j)\{(\mu_{1j}) + R_{jm_j}\} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^M \delta_{n_i - c_i} (r_{in_{i+1}}) P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_{.i+1.}(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \mu_{in_{i+1}} P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_{Mn_M+1} \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m})) \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + R_{jm_{j+1}}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \dots\dots\dots(2)
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $n_i \geq 0, m_j \geq 0; (i = 1, 2, \dots, M); (j = 1, 2, \dots, N)$  .

**Case I:- When  $n_i < c_i$ :**

For  $n_i < c_i$ , then the resulting equations (2) reduce to as under:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i)(n_i \mu_i) + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j)\{(\mu_{1j}) + R_{jm_j}\} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i \mu_i (n_i + 1) P(T_{.i+1.}(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^M p_i \mu_i (n_i + 1) P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_M (n_M + 1) \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m})) \\
 &+ \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + R_{jm_{j+1}}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \dots\dots\dots(3)
 \end{aligned}$$

The solutions of the steady state equations (3) can be verified to be:

$$P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) = P(\tilde{0}, \tilde{0}) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_1} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_1} \right)^{n_1} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_2} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_2 + q_1 \alpha'_1}{\mu_2} \right)^{n_2} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_3} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_3 + q_2 \alpha'_2}{\mu_3} \right)^{n_3} \right] \\
 \dots \dots \dots \left[ \left( \frac{1}{n_M} \right) \left( \frac{\lambda_M + q_{M-1} \alpha'_{M-1}}{\mu_M} \right)^{n_M} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{1}{m_1} \right) \left( \frac{(\lambda_{11} + \mu_M q_{M1} \rho_M)^{m_1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_1} (\mu_{11} + R_{1j})} \right) \right] \\
 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{m_2} \right) \left( \frac{(\lambda_{12} + \mu_M q_{M2} \rho_M)^{m_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} (\mu_{12} + R_{2j})} \right) \right] \dots \dots \dots \left[ \left( \frac{1}{m_N} \right) \left( \frac{(\lambda_{1N} + \mu_M q_{MN} \rho_M)^{m_N}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_N} (\mu_{1M} + R_{Mj})} \right) \right] \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

for  $n_i \geq 0$ ,  $m_j \geq 0$ ; ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$ ); ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) .  
 where

$$\rho_M = \frac{\lambda_M + q_{M-1} \alpha'_{M-1}}{\mu_M}$$

$$\alpha'_1 = \lambda_1$$

$$\alpha'_k = \lambda_k + q_{k-1} \alpha'_{k-1} \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, M - 1$$

We obtain  $P(\tilde{0}, \tilde{0})$  from the normalizing condition  $\sum_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{\infty} P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) = 1$  and with the restrictions that traffic intensity of each service channel of the system is less than unity.

**Case II:- When  $n_i \geq c_i$**

for  $n_i \geq c_i$ , then the resulting equations (2) will reduce to as under:

$$\left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i) (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta(m_j) \{ (\mu_{1j}) + R_{jm_j} \} \right] P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) \\
 = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\lambda_{1j}}{m_j} P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) + \sum_{i=1}^M (p_i c_i \mu_i + r_{in_{i+1}}) P(T_i(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) \\
 + \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} q_i c_i \mu_i P(T_{.i+1.}(\tilde{n}), \tilde{m}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_M c_M \frac{q_{Mj}}{m_j} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M + 1, T_j(\tilde{m})) \\
 + \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_{1j} + R_{jm_{j+1}}) P(\tilde{n}; T_j(\tilde{m})) \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

The solutions of the steady state equations can be verified to be:

$$P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) = P(\tilde{0}, \tilde{0}) \left[ \frac{(\lambda_1)^{n_1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} (c_1 \mu_1 + r_{1i})} \right] \left[ \frac{\{\lambda_2 (c_1 \mu_1 + r_{1n_1+1}) + c_1 q_1 \mu_1 \alpha_1\}^{n_2}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_2} (c_2 \mu_2 + r_{2i})(c_1 \mu_1 + r_{1n_1+1})^{n_2}} \right]$$

$$\left[ \frac{\{\lambda_3 \prod_{i=1}^2 (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i+1}) + c_2 q_2 \mu_2 \alpha_2\}^{n_3}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_3} (c_3 \mu_3 + r_{3i}) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^2 (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i+1}) \right]^{n_3}} \right] \dots$$

$$\left[ \frac{\{\lambda_M \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i+1}) + c_{M-1} q_{M-1} \mu_{M-1} \alpha_{M-1}\}^{n_M}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n_M} (c_M \mu_M + r_{Mi}) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i+1}) \right]^{n_M}} \right] \left[ \frac{(\lambda_{11} + \mu_M c_M \rho'_M q_{M1})^{m_1}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_1} (\mu_{11} + R_{1j})} \right]$$

$$\left[ \frac{(\lambda_{12} + \mu_M c_M \rho'_M q_{M2})^{m_2}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_2} (\mu_{12} + R_{2j})} \right] \dots \left[ \frac{(\lambda_{1N} + \mu_M c_M \rho'_M q_{MN})^{m_N}}{\prod_{j=1}^{m_N} (\mu_{1M} + R_{Mj})} \right] \tag{6}$$

Where 
$$\rho'_M = \frac{\lambda_M \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i+1}) + c_{M-1} \mu_{M-1} q_{M-1} \alpha_{M-1}}{(c_M \mu_M + r_{Mn_M+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i+1})}$$

$$\alpha_1 = \lambda_1$$

$$\alpha_k = \lambda_k \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (c_i \mu_i + r_{in_i+1}) + q_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1} \mu_{k-1} c_{k-1}$$

We obtain  $P(\tilde{0}, \tilde{0})$  from the normalizing condition  $\sum_{\tilde{m}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{\infty} P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m}) = 1$  and with the restrictions that traffic intensity of each service channel of the system is less than unity

Thus  $P(\tilde{n}, \tilde{m})$  is completely determined.

**References:**

1. **Singh, M.** (1984); Steady-state behaviour of serial queuing processes with impatient customers. **Math. Operationsforsch, U. statist. Ser, statist. Vol. 15. No.2.289-298.**
2. **Singh, Man and Ahuja, Asha** (1995):The steady state solution of multiple parallel channels in series with impatient customers. **Intl. J. Mgmt. syst. Vol. 11, No. 2.**
3. **Singh, Man , Punam and Ashok Kumar** (2009): Steady-state solutions of serial and non-serial queuing processes with renegeing and balking due to long queue and some urgent message and feedback .**International Journal of Essential Science Vol. 2, No.2.**
4. **Vikram and Singh, Man** (1998): Steady state solution of serial and non – serial queuing processes with renegeing and balking phenomenon. recent advances in information theory , **statistics and computer applications,CCS Haryana Agricultural University Publication , Hisar.227-236**