#### **International Journal of Engineering, Science and Mathematics**

Vol. 7Issue 1, January 2018,

ISSN: 2320-0294 Impact Factor: 6.765

Journal Homepage: <a href="http://www.ijesm.co.in">http://www.ijesm.co.in</a>, Email: ijesmj@gmail.com

Double-Blind

Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, U.S.A., Open J-Gage as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

# Estimation for ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution under progressive type-II censored data using different loss functions

Ranjita Pandey Neera Kumari\*

# Abstract

Keywords:

ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution; progressive type-II censoring scheme; L-Approximation method; MCMC techniques.

In this paper, the Bayesian estimation procedures for the unknown parameter as well as the reliability function of the inverse size biased (ISB) p-dimensional (p-dim) Rayleigh distribution under progressive Type-II censoring scheme are estimated. We consider the maximum likelihood estimator (MLEs) of the unknown parameter. Further, Bayesian estimation of the unknown parameters under Lindley's Approximation (L-Approximation) method and Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) techniques are used. The Hartigan prior with squared error and general entropy loss functions are considered for Bayesian analysis. Simulation study is performed to compare the proposed estimates.

Copyright © 2018International Journals of Multidisciplinary Research Academy. All rights reserved.

# Author correspondence:

Neera Kumari, Department of Statistics, University of Delhi

#### 1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the progressive type-II censoring scheme. The progressive censoring is useful in industrial life testing applications and clinical settings; it allows the removal of surviving experimental units before the termination of the test. Cohen (1963) introduced a general censoring scheme known as progressive type-II censoring in which removal of the experimental units is allowed in between the experiment, hence become very popular in the reliability and life testing experiments. Several authors have investigated different inference problem with progressively censored samples namely, Mann (1971), Thomas and Wilson (1972). The estimation of the parameters of various lifetime distribution based on the progressive Type-II censoring scheme is done by Cohen and Norgaard (1977), Davis and Feldstein (1979). Balakrishnan et al. (2000, 2007) and provides a comprehensive reference on the subject of progressive censoring and its applications. Some recent studies on progressive censoring are initiated by Lee et al. (2011), Krishna and Kumar (2011), Rastogi et al. (2012), Krishna and Malik (2012), Krishna and Kumar (2013), Abou-Elheggag (2013), Singh and Sharma et al. (2013) and Rastogi and Tripathi (2014).

Here, we discuss the progressive type II censoring. Suppose n independent and identically distributed units taken from a continuous distribution are placed on a life test experiment. Let a

censoring scheme  $\left(R_1-R_2-....-R_m\right)$  be prefixed in such a manner that immediately after the first failure,  $R_1$  items are randomly removed from n-1 surviving items and, at the time of occurrence of the second failure, the  $R_2$  out of  $n-R_1-2$  surviving units are withdrawn from the experiment. The test is continued units at the time of  $\mathbf{m}^{\text{th}}$  (1 $\leq$  m  $\leq$  n) failure, the remaining surviving units  $R_m$  where  $R_m=n-m-R_1-R_2-....-R_{m-1}$  are removed from the experiment. The usual type-II censoring scheme is a particular case of this scheme with  $R_1-R_2-....-R_{m-1}=0$ ,  $R_m=n-m$ . Also, the complete sampling corresponds to the case when  $R_1-R_2-....-R_{m-1}=R_m=0$ .

We discuss the estimation problem, when the progressive censored samples are drawn from the ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution (see Pandey and Kumari, 2016). The probability density function and cumulative distribution function of the ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution is defined as follows:

$$f(y; \alpha, p) = \frac{2}{\alpha^{(p+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{y^{p+2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\alpha y^2}\right); \ 0 < y < \infty$$
 (1.1)

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\alpha t^2}\right); t > 0$$
(1.2)

The cumulative density function is an upper incomplete gamma function. The reliability function is given by

$$R(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\alpha t^2}\right); t > 0$$
(1.3)

It is assumed that parameter  $\alpha$  is unknown and p is a positive known quantity. The reliability function is lower incomplete gamma function. Classical and Bayesian estimation of the unknown parameter are studied for ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution under progressive Type-II censoring. It is observed that the MLE of the unknown parameter cannot be obtained in closed form, hence approximate MLE has been proposed in explicit form. The performance of the MLE and the approximate MLE is very close to each other. Bayes estimates of the unknown parameter based on different symmetric and asymmetric loss functions such as squared error and general entropy, and it is observed that they cannot be obtained in explicit form; hence L-Approximation and MCMC technique has been incorporated.

By symmetricity, it is implied that overestimation and underestimation are equally serious. Squared error loss or quadratic loss function (SELF) classified as a symmetric function associates equal importance to the losses due to overestimation and underestimation of equal magnitude and is evaluated as

$$L(\alpha, \hat{\alpha}) = (\alpha - \hat{\alpha})^2 \tag{1.4}$$

The asymmetric loss function, GELF due to Calabria and Pulcini (1994) is defined as

$$L(\delta) = \left(\delta^{q} - q \log_{e}(\delta) - 1\right); \ \delta = \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\alpha} \ and \ q = 1$$
 (1.5)

and is useful in the situations where it is worse to underestimate (or overestimate) the potential of an event than to overestimate (or underestimate) the unknown parameter.

The aim of this paper is to discuss the inverse size biased p-dimensional Rayleigh distribution with MLEs and Bayes estimation procedures for one parameter and reliability function under progressive type-II censored data with SELF and GELF. We present the derivation of the maximum

likelihood estimation (MLE) of the unknown parameter and reliability function in section 2. In Section 3, we obtain Bayesian estimation under L-Approximation Method and MCMC technique with SELF and GELF. The simulation study is provided in section 4. The conclusion is given in section 5.

#### 2. Maximum Likelihood Estimators

In this section, the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) of the unknown parameter  $\alpha$  and reliability function of ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution using progressive type-II censored samples are derived. The asymptotic confidence interval of the parameter is constructed.

Suppose that  $\underline{Y} = Y_{1:m:n}, Y_{2:m:n}, \dots, Y_{m:m:n}$  is a progressive type-II censored sample of size m from a sample of size n taken from ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution with pdf in equation (1.1) and  $\underline{R} = \left(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m\right)$  denote the corresponding numbers of units removed from the test. The likelihood function based on the progressive type-II censored sample (see Balakarishana and Aggarwala, 2000) is given by

$$f(y_{1:m:n}, y_{2:m:n}, \dots, y_{m:m:n}) = A \prod_{i=1}^{m} f_{Y_{i:m:n}}(y_{i:m:n}) \left[ 1 - F_{Y_{i:m:n}}(x_{i:m:n}) \right]^{R_i}$$
(2.1)

where,  $A = n(n-R_1-1)(n-R_1-R_2-2)....(n-R_1-....-R_{m-1}-m+1)$  is a constant.

Using equations (1.1) and (1.2) the likelihood function (2.1) can be expressed as

$$l(\underline{y}|\alpha) = A \frac{2^{m}}{\alpha^{m(p+1)/2} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)\right)^{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{y_{i:m:n}^{p+2}}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}}\right)\right)$$

$$\times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left\{1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}}\right)\right\}^{R_{i}}$$

$$(2.2)$$

where,  $\underline{y} = (y_{1:m:n}, y_{2:m:n}, \dots, y_{m:m:n})$ . Natural logarithmic of the likelihood function of equation (2.2) is taken and after simplification, the log likelihood function is given as

$$\ln l = \ln(A) + m \ln(2) - mP \ln(\alpha) - m \ln(\Gamma P) + K_2 - \frac{1}{\alpha} K_1 + \sum_{i=1}^m R_i \ln \left\{ \Gamma P - \Gamma \left( P, \frac{1}{\alpha} K_3 \right) \right\}$$
 where, 
$$K_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{y_{i:m:n}^2} \right), \ K_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \ln \left( \frac{1}{y_{i:m:n}^{p+2}} \right), \ K_3 = \frac{1}{y_{i:m:n}^2}, \ P = \left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right)$$

The MLE of  $\alpha$  is obtained by the setting the first partial derivatives of equation (2.3) equal to zero with respective to  $\alpha$ , respectively, these simultaneous equations is,

$$\frac{\partial \ln l}{\partial \alpha} = -mP \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} K_1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{R_i \Phi \Gamma \left( P, \frac{1}{\alpha} K_3 \right)}{\left\{ \Gamma P - \Gamma \left( P, \frac{1}{\alpha} K_3 \right) \right\}} = 0$$
(2.4)

where, 
$$\Phi\Gamma\left(P, \frac{1}{\alpha}K_3\right) = \frac{\partial\Gamma\left(P, \frac{1}{\alpha}K_3\right)}{\partial\alpha}$$

Subsequently, the MLEs of the reliability function, at a given time t are given, respectively, by

$$\hat{R}_{ML}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \gamma \left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{ML}t^2}\right); t > 0$$
(2.5)

where,  $\hat{\alpha}_{ML}$  is the MLE of  $\alpha$ . Since, equation (2.4) cannot be solved analytically; therefore, we used Newton Raphson iterative algorithm method.

### 2.1 Asymptotic Confidence Interval

To obtain the asymptotic confidence interval (ACI), we consider Fisher information matrix  $I(\alpha)$  which is given by:

$$I(\hat{\alpha}) = -E \left( \frac{\partial^2 \ln l}{\partial \alpha^2} \right)_{\alpha = \hat{\alpha}}$$

Now, the ACI of  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{I(\hat{\alpha})}$$

The exact mathematical expression for the expectation of Fisher Information Matrix does not exist thus, using the concept of large sample theory we have obtained ACI.  $100 \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2}\right)\%$  confidence interval of the unknown parameter  $\alpha$  is given by

$$[\hat{\alpha}_L, \hat{\alpha}_U] = \hat{\alpha}_{ML} \pm Z_{u/2} \sqrt{\operatorname{var}(\hat{\alpha}_{ML})}$$

where,  $Z_{\mu/2}$  is the upper  $(\mu/2)^{th}$  percentile of the standard normal distribution.

# 3. Bayesian Estimation

This section deals with Bayes estimate for unknown parameter  $\alpha$  and reliability function of ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution under SELF and GELF on progressive type-II censored data. In Bayesian analysis, the parameter of interest is to be considered as a random variable and follows the prior distribution. We assume the asymptotically invariant prior, proposed by Hartigan (1964) whose form is as follows:

$$g(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha^3}, \alpha > 0 \tag{3.1}$$

Now the joint posterior density function  $\prod (y|\alpha)$  as from equation (2.2) and (3.1) we get,

$$\prod \left( \underline{y} \middle| \alpha \right) = \frac{L(\underline{y} \middle| \alpha, p) g(\alpha)}{\int_{\alpha} L(\underline{y} \middle| \alpha, p) g(\alpha) d\alpha}$$

$$\prod (\underline{y} | \alpha) = \frac{2^{m} K^{-1}}{\alpha^{(m(p+1)+6)/2} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)\right)^{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{y_{i:m:n}^{p+2}}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}}\right)\right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left\{1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}}\right)\right\}^{R_{i}} \tag{3.2}$$

where,

$$K = \int_{\alpha} \frac{2^{m}}{\alpha^{(m(p+1)+6)/2} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)\right)^{m}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{y_{i:m:n}^{p+2}}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}}\right)\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left\{1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}}\right)\right\}^{R_{i}} d\alpha$$

To find the Bayes estimate of any parametric function, we need the posterior distribution in closed form. But for the distribution we have used, it is not possible to obtain the closed form of marginal, hence, we have used L-Approximation and MCMC technique. These techniques are discussed in the next section.

#### 3.1 L-Approximation Method

This approach is developed by Lindley in 1980 and provides a simplified form of bayes estimator which is easy to use in practice. Now using the L-Approximation method  $I(\underline{y})$  can be approximated as

$$I(\underline{y}) = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} p(\alpha)e^{l(\alpha/\underline{y})+\eta(\alpha)}d\alpha}{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{l(\alpha/\underline{y})+\eta(\alpha)}d\alpha}$$
(3.3)

where,

 $p(\alpha)$  = function of  $\alpha$ ,

 $l(\alpha|y)$  = the log likelihood function,

 $\eta(\alpha, \beta)$  = log of prior distribution of  $\alpha$ .

$$I(\underline{y}) = p(\hat{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \hat{p}_{\alpha\alpha} + 2\hat{p}_{\alpha}\hat{\eta}_{\alpha} \right) \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \hat{p}_{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \left( \hat{l}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \right) \right]$$
(3.4)

where 
$$\hat{\alpha}$$
 is MLE of  $\alpha$ ,  $p_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial p(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha}$ ,  $p_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 p(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha^2}$ ,  $\hat{l}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \alpha}$ ,  $\hat{l}_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \alpha^2}$ ,  $\hat{l}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\partial^3 l}{\partial \alpha^3}$ ,  $\hat{l}_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\partial \log g(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha}\Big|_{\alpha=\hat{\alpha}} = -\frac{3}{\hat{\alpha}}$ ,  $\sigma_{\alpha\alpha} = -\frac{1}{l_{\alpha\alpha}}$ 

The following forms are used to estimate Bayes under SELF for  $\alpha$  and reliability function which is given as:

# (i) Bayes estimate of $\alpha$ under SELF

If p(
$$\alpha$$
)= $\alpha$ , then  $p_{\alpha}=1$ ,  $p_{\alpha\alpha}=0$ , the Bayes estimate of  $\alpha$  under SELF is given by 
$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{BS}=E\left(\alpha/y\right)=\hat{\alpha}_{ML}+0.5\left[\left(\hat{p}_{\alpha\alpha}+2\hat{p}_{\alpha}\hat{\eta}_{\alpha}\right)\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha}\right]+0.5\left|\hat{p}_{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha}\left(\hat{l}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha}\right)\right|$$

# (ii) Bayes estimate of reliability function under SELF

If 
$$p(\hat{\alpha}) = \hat{R}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})} \gamma(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}t^2})$$
, Now, the Bayes estimate of reliability function under

SELF from equation (2.5) is given by

$$\widetilde{R}_{BS}(t) = E(\widehat{R}(t)/\underline{y})$$

and

$$\widetilde{R}_{BS}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \gamma \left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}t^{2}}\right) + 0.5 \left[\left(\hat{p}_{\alpha\alpha} + 2\hat{p}_{\alpha}\hat{\eta}_{\alpha}\right)\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha}\right] + 0.5 \left[\hat{p}_{\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha}\left(\hat{l}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha}\hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha}\right)\right]$$

Next, we estimate Bayes under the GELF for parameter  $\alpha$  and reliability function using equation (3.4).

#### (iii) Bayes estimate of $\alpha$ under GELF

If  $p(\alpha)=\alpha^{-q}$ , then  $p_{\alpha}=-q\alpha^{-(q+1)}$ ,  $p_{\alpha\alpha}=q(q+1)\alpha^{-(q+2)}$ , the Bayesian estimate of  $\alpha$  under GELF loss function is given by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{BG} = \left[ E_{\alpha} \left( \alpha^{-q} / \underline{y} \right) \right]^{-1/q}, 
E_{\alpha} \left( \alpha^{-q} / \underline{y} \right) = \widehat{\alpha}^{-q} + 0.5 \left[ \left( \widehat{p}_{\alpha\alpha} + 2 \widehat{p}_{\alpha} \widehat{\eta}_{\alpha} \right) \widehat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \right] + 0.5 \left[ \widehat{p}_{\alpha} \widehat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \left( \widehat{l}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} \widehat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \right) \right]$$

# (iv) Bayes estimate of Reliability function under GELF

If 
$$p(\hat{\alpha}) = \hat{R}(t)^{-q}$$
, if  $\hat{R}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})} \gamma(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}t^2})$ , the Bayes estimate of reliability function

under GELF loss function is given by

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{R}_{BG} &= \left[ E_{\alpha} \left( R^{-q} / \underline{y} \right) \right]^{-1/q} \\ &E \left( R_{ML}^{-q} / \underline{y} \right) = \hat{R}_{ML}^{-q} + 0.5 \left[ \left( \hat{p}_{\alpha\alpha} + 2 \hat{p}_{\alpha} \hat{\eta}_{\alpha} \right) \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \right] + 0.5 \left[ \hat{p}_{\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \left( \hat{l}_{\alpha\alpha\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \right) \right] \end{split}$$

In the next subsection, we use the Markov Chain Monte Carlo technique to compute the Bayes estimates for the parameter  $\alpha$  and reliability function.

# 3.2 MCMC Techniques

The MCMC technique provides the flexibility of extracting the posterior samples from its respective posterior distribution. Metropolis-Hastings algorithm is used to generate samples from the full conditional posterior distributions and then compute the Bayes estimates (Smith and Gelfand (1990), Hastings (1970), Upadhyaya and Gupta (2010)). The marginal posterior distribution is

$$\prod_{1} (\underline{y} | \alpha) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{y_{i:m:n}^{p+2}} \right) \exp \left( -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}} \right) \right) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)} \Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}, \frac{1}{\alpha y_{i:m:n}^{2}} \right) \right\}^{R_{i}}$$

Following is the MCMC procedure to generate the sample from above equation:

Step 1: Start with initial value of  $\alpha$  say  $\alpha_0$ .

Step 2: Set *i*=1.

Step 3: Generate  $\alpha_i$  from  $\prod_1 (y | \alpha)$ .

Step 4: Repeat Step 2-3, N times.

Bayes estimates under SELF for unknown parameter  $\alpha$  and reliability function is given by:

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{BSMC} = \frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{i=M+1}^{N} \alpha_i$$
, and  $\widetilde{R}(t)_{BSMC} = \frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{i=M+1}^{N} R_i(t)$ 

Under GELF, the Bayes estimates for parameter  $\alpha$  and reliability function is given by:

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{BGMC} = \left(\frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{i=M+1}^{N} \alpha_i^{-q}\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}} \quad and \quad \widetilde{R}(t)_{BGMC} = \left(\frac{1}{N-M} \sum_{i=M+1}^{N} R_i^{-q}(t)\right)^{-\frac{1}{q}}$$

# 4. Simulation Study

In this section, maximum likelihood and Bayes estimators for unknown parameter and reliability function under progressive type-II censoring are considered and hence, compared the performances of these estimators with Monte Carlo simulation, numerically.

We have generated a random sample from ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution for  $\alpha$ =1.5 and p=2. The progressive Type-II Censored Samples are generated using algorithm given by Balakrishnan and Sandhu. Three samples of size n=20, 30, 50 are taken and corresponding effective sample sizes are chosen in such a way that the observed samples are 50% and 80% censored. The mean square error (MSE) criterion is considered for comparison of the estimators. MLE of the parameter is obtained by using Newton Raphson method and corresponding reliability function is obtained using invariance property.

Bayes estimate of the parameter  $\alpha$  and reliability function are obtained under Hartigan prior using squared error and general entropy loss functions. The Bayes estimates are computed with the help of L-Approximation and Markov Chain Monte Carlo technique. The reliability estimates are evaluated for t=4, where, actual reliability R(t)=0.2371. Further, Bayes estimators are also derived with respect to asymmetric invariant prior distribution. From the extensive study of simulation, we see that the estimates obtained by MCMC have less variability as compared to the other methods.

The average estimates and corresponding MSE are reported in Tables 1-2. We observed that from the tables the mean square error of all estimators decreases when the sample size n and effective sample size m increases. Under two approximation techniques, the mean square error of Bayes estimates of the parameter under informative prior following patterns is noticed:

MSEs(MCMC) < MSEs(Lindley) < MSEs(MLE)

The mean square error of Bayes estimates of the reliability function under two approximation techniques are obtained and suggest that:

MSEs(MCMC) < MSEs(Lindley) < MSEs(MLE)

**Table-1.** Average values and MSEs (in Bracket) of all estimates of  $\alpha$  for different value of n and m.

				L-Approximation			MCMC technique		
n	m CS	$\hat{lpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle ML}$							
n				$\widetilde{lpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle BSL}$	$\widetilde{lpha}_{{}_{BG_1L}}$	$\widetilde{lpha}_{{}_{BG_2L}}$	$\widetilde{lpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle BSMC}$	$\widetilde{lpha}_{{}_{BG_1MC}}$	$\widetilde{lpha}_{{}_{BG_2MC}}$
20		(10,0*9)	1.5267	1.5526	1.4782	1.5723	1.5139	1.4426	1.5236
			(0.0723)	(0.0583)	(0.0513)	(0.0644)	(0.0566)	(0.0454)	(0.0607)
	10	(2*5,0*5)	1.5636	1.5895	1.5246	1.5948	1.5368	1.3248	1.5676
			(0.0663)	(0.0513)	(0.0476)	(0.0571)	(0.0502)	(0.0413)	(0.0532)
		(0*5,2*5)	1.5808	1.6162	1.5468	1.6549	1.5758	1.5036	1.6165
			(0.0632)	(0.0476)	(0.0443)	(0.0495)	(0.0439)	(0.0388)	(0.0444)
		(0*9,10)	1.6425	1.6671	1.6343	1.6957	1.6136	1.5973	1.6558
			(0.0614)	(0.0426)	(0.0394)	(0.0457)	(0.0403)	(0.0356)	(0.0417)
	20	(0*20)	1.6153	1.2957	1.1524	1.3285	1.1257	1.0736	1.2158
			(0.0577)	(0.0393)	(0.0327)	(0.0435)	(0.0346)	(0.0305)	(0.0407)
		(15,0*14)	1.4674	1.5257	1.4356	1.5653	1.5082	1.4176	1.5159
			(0.0674)	(0.0453)	(0.0412)	(0.0496)	(0.0426)	(0.0265)	(0.0461)
		(3*5,0*10)	1.5277	1.5765	1.4645	1.6289	1.5247	1.4536	1.5628
	15		(0.0631)	(0.0412)	(0.0386)	(0.0447)	(0.0372)	(0.0237)	(0.0431)
		(0*10,3*5)	1.5823	1.6298	1.4756	1.6458	1.5765	1.4836	1.5747
			(0.0597)	(0.0366)	(0.0339)	(0.0387)	(0.0317)	(0.0201)	(0.0335)
		(0*14,15)	1.6597	1.6648	1.5227	1.6925	1.6238	1.5172	1.6435
30			(0.0476)	(0.0308)	(0.0284)	(0.0357)	(0.0283)	(0.0193)	(0.0312)
ľ		(6,0*23)	1.4452	1.4723	1.4437	1.5276	1.4457	1.4236	1.4843
			(0.0690)	(0.0455)	(0.0416)	(0.0485)	(0.0426)	(0.0388)	(0.0454)
	24	(0*22,3*2)	1.4751	1.5457	1.4175	1.5876	1.5365	1.5164	1.5664
		, ,	(0.0576)	(0.0372)	(0.0327)	(0.0419)	(0.0382)	(0.0306)	(0.0415)
		(2*3,0*21)	1.5077	1.5762	1.4935	1.6373	1.5658	1.5463	1.6125
		,	(0.0521)	(0.0346)	(0.0276)	(0.0456)	(0.0358)	(0.0257)	(0.0346)
		(0*23,6)	1.5232	1.6324	1.5548	1.6723	1.6083	1.5387	1.6427
			(0.0415)	(0.0297)	(0.0247)	(0.0321)	(0.0257)	(0.0215)	(0.0307)
	30	(0*30)	1.5433	1.5857	1.5436	1.6258	1.5486	1.5235	1.5765
			(0.0657)	(0.0478)	(0.0427)	(0.0484)	(0.0426)	(0.0372)	(0.0441)
	25	(25,0*24)	1.5153	1.5465	1.5034	1.5749	1.5134	1.4686	1.5356
			(0.0572)	(0.0373)	(0.0324)	(0.0435)	(0.0343)	(0.0286)	(0.0367)
		(5*5,0*20)	1.5519	1.5762	1.5435	1.6265	1.5438	1.5076	1.5673
			(0.0516)	(0.0357)	(0.0286)	(0.0408)	(0.0315)	(0.0263)	(0.0327)
		(0*20,5*5)	1.5845	1.6192	1.5796	1.6546	1.5776	1.5224	1.6152
50			(0.0476)	(0.0318)	(0.0258)	(0.0383)	(0.0289)	(0.0228)	(0.0272)
		(0*24,25)	1.6433	1.6635	1.6246	1.6867	1.6292	1.5734	1.6525
			(0.0431)	(0.0253)	(0.0226)	(0.0326)	(0.0244)	(0.0214)	(0.0255)
	40	(10,0*39)	1.3862	1.4484	1.4242	1.4786	1.4137	1.4063	1.4636
			(0.0496)	(0.0322)	(0.0259)	(0.0334)	(0.0272)	(0.0227)	(0.0282)
		(2*5,0*35)	1.4455	1.5386	1.5046	1.57463	1.5046	1.4764	1.5368
			(0.0413)	(0.0264)	(0.0222)	(0.0284)	(0.0245)	(0.0210)	(0.0259)
		(0*35,2*5)	1.4745	1.5654	1.5356	1.6189	1.5453	1.5023	1.5879
			(0.0365)	(0.0237)	(0.0207)	(0.0259)	(0.0216)	(0.0194)	(0.0238)
		(0*39,10)	1.5018	1.6274	1.5846	1.6576	1.5886	1.5684	1.6235
			(0.0314)	(0.0206)	(0.0192)	(0.0238)	(0.0182)	(0.0163)	(0.0217)
	50	(0*50)	1.5143	1.5387	1.5072	1.5543	1.5169	1.4863	1.5427
			(0.0381)	(0.0267)	(0.0225)	(0.0307)	(0.0232)	(0.0204)	(0.0275)

**Table-2.** Average values and MSEs (in Bracket) of all estimates of R(t) for different values of n and m at time t=4.

	т	CS	$\hat{R}_{ extit{ML}}$	L-Approximation			MCMC technique		
n				$\widetilde{R}_{\scriptscriptstyle BSL}$	$\widetilde{R}_{{}_{BG_1L}}$	$\widetilde{R}_{BG_2L}$	$\widetilde{R}_{BSMC}$	$\widetilde{R}_{BG_1MC}$	$\widetilde{R}_{BG_2MC}$
20		(10,0*9)	0.2757	0.3245	0.2873	0.3563	0.2936	0.2595	0.3259
	10		(0.0296)	(0.0246)	(0.0212)	(0.0272)	(0.0226)	(0.0185)	(0.0232)
		(2*5,0*5)	0.3113	0.3426	0.3177	0.3727	0.3269	0.2825	0.3406
			(0.0239)	(0.0207)	(0.0175)	(0.0215)	(0.0184)	(0.0157)	(0.0198)
		(0*5,2*5)	0.3460	0.3764	0.3305	0.3943	0.3627	0.3159	0.3773
			(0.0209)	(0.0175)	(0.0154)	(0.0182)	(0.0167)	(0.0132)	(0.0178)
		(0*9,10)	0.3804	0.4153	0.3731	0.4371	0.4086	0.3325	0.4122
			(0.0181)	(0.0157)	(0.0141)	(0.0168)	(0.0132)	(0.0114)	(0.0145)
	20	(0*20)	0.2460	0.2729	0.2386	0.3058	0.2457	0.2094	0.2658
			(0.0248)	(0.0212)	(0.0176)	(0.0234)	(0.0182)	(0.0127)	(0.0227)
		(15,0*14) (3*5,0*10)	0.2708	0.3235	0.3074	0.3595	0.3121	0.2743	0.3324
			(0.0128)	(0.0102)	(0.0095)	(0.0117)	(0.0097)	(0.0091)	(0.0108)
			0.3424	0.3682	0.3574	0.3870	0.3415	0.3214	0.3624
	15		(0.0110)	(0.0093)	(0.0084)	(0.0096)	(0.0091)	(0.0219)	(0.0092)
		(0*10,3*5)	0.3691	0.3927	0.3784	0.4236	0.3640	0.3528	0.4055
		,	(0.0097)	(0.0086)	(0.0081)	(0.0089)	(0.0083)	(0.0214)	(0.0081)
		(0*14,15)	0.4351	0.4628	0.4117	0.4743	0.4151	0.3847	0.4436
30			(0.0085)	(0.0081)	(0.0078)	(0.0084)	(0.0076)	(0.0015)	(0.0076)
		(6,0*23)	0.2866	0.3134	0.2827	0.3302	0.2851	0.2449	0.3124
			(0.0156)	(0.0125)	(0.0105)	(0.0133)	(0.0122)	(0.0101)	(0.0131)
	24	(0*22,3*2)	0.3245	0.3463	0.3327	0.3734	0.3276	0.2836	0.3424
			(0.0127)	(0.0097)	(0.0093)	(0.0101)	(0.0089)	(0.0086)	(0.0093)
		(2*3,0*21)	0.3324	0.3632	0.3528	0.4025	0.3354	0.3307	0.3785
		(0*23,6)	(0.0112)	(0.0092)	(0.0088)	(0.0096)	(0.0085)	(0.0082)	(0.0091)
			0.3518	0.4158	0.3841	0.4474	0.3876	0.3467	0.4272
			(0.0106)	(0.0090)	(0.0082)	(0.0093)	(0.0083)	(0.0076)	(0.0087)
	30	(0*30)	0.3236	0.3574	0.3152	0.3857	0.3476	0.2964	0.3625
			(0.0108)	(0.0096)	(0.0085)	(0.0098)	(0.0092)	(0.0073)	(0.0095)
		(25,0*24)	0.2640	0.3243	0.3024	0.3845	0.3152	0.2636	0.3662
	25		(0.0134)	(0.0121)	(0.0107)	(0.0132)	(0.0118)	(0.0106)	(0.0143)
		(5*5,0*20)	0.3284	0.3536	0.3376	0.4196	0.3365	0.2985	0.3925
			(0.0112)	(0.0103)	(0.0096)	(0.0121)	(0.0112)	(0.0087)	(0.0124)
		(0*20,5*5)	0.3517	0.3853	0.3571	0.4624	0.3686	0.3152	0.4246
			(0.0105)	(0.0097)	(0.0087)	(0.0104)	(0.0086)	(0.0084)	(0.0092)
		(0*24,25)	0.3744	0.4185	0.3786	0.4663	0.3935	0.3436	0.4421
50			(0.0092)	(0.0086)	(0.0075)	(0.0093)	(0.0075)	(0.0076)	(0.0084)
	40	(10,0*39)	0.2674	0.3326	0.2717	0.3527	0.2853	0.2125	0.3014
			(0.0184)	(0.0173)	(0.0132)	(0.0181)	(0.0121)	(0.0105)	(0.0162)
		(2*5,0*35)	0.2984	0.3617	0.3125	0.3876	0.3214	0.2763	0.3458
			(0.0156)	(0.0128)	(0.0105)	(0.0162)	(0.0102)	(0.0090)	(0.0135)
		(0*35,2*5)	0.3217	0.4125	0.3516	0.4514	0.3681	0.3141	0.3724
		(0*39,10)	(0.0125)	(0.0102)	(0.0087)	(0.0138)	(0.0089)	(0.0083)	(0.0118)
			0.3944	0.4437	0.4053	0.4758	0.3725	0.3616	0.4325
			(0.0097)	(0.0092)	(0.0081)	(0.0112)	(0.0815)	(0.0075)	(0.0097)
	50	(0*50)	0.2796	0.3257	0.2919	0.3525	0.3127	0.2636	0.3271
			(0.0172)	(0.0147)	(0.0112)	(0.0162)	(0.0123)	(0.0104)	(0.0140)

From Tables 1-2, the Bayes estimates based on progressive Type-II censored data relative to SELF and GELF are better than their corresponding maximum likelihood, for most cases of n and m. When the effective sample sizes (n, m) increases, the MSEs of the all estimates based on progressive Type-II censored data decreases.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed the bayes estimates of the unknown parameter and reliability function of the ISB p-dim Rayleigh distribution under progressive type-II censored data. The Bayes estimates of the parameter and reliability function are computed under SELF and GELF with respect to Hartigan prior using L-Approximation method and MCMC technique. It is observed that the approximation techniques works well and we noticed that the performances of Bayes estimates obtained under asymmetric invariant prior using L-Approximation have smaller mean square error as compared to rest of the methods, while the MSEs of the Bayes estimators are quite similar under MCMC methods.

#### References

- [1] A.C. Cohen and N.J. Norgaard, "Progressively censored sampling in the three parameter gamma distribution", *Technometrics*, Vol. 19, pp. 333–340, 1977.
- [2] Calabria, R. and Pulcini, "An engineering approach to Bayes estimation for the weibull distribution" *Micro-Election, Reliab*. Vol. 34 (5), pp. 789-802, 1994.
- [3] Cohen AC, "Progressively censored samples in life testing. Technometrics Vol. 5(3):327–339, 1963.
- [4] Gelfand, A.E. and Smith, A,F,M, "Sampling baised approaches to calculating marginal densities", J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. Vol. 85, pp. 398-409, 1990.
- [5] H.T. Davis and M.L. Feldstein, "The generalized Pareto law as a model for progressively censored suvival data", *Biometrika*, Vol. 66, pp. 299–306, 1979.
- [6] Hartigan, J.A., "Invariant prior distributions"", Ann. Math. Statist. Vol. 34, pp. 836-845, 1964.
- [7] Hastings, W., "Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov chains and their application". *Biometrika*, Vol. 57, pp. 97-109, 1970.
- [8] Krishna H, Kumar K, "Reliability estimation in Lindley distribution with progressively type II right censored sample", *Math Comput Simul*, Vol. 82(2),pp. 281–294, 2011.
- [9] Krishna H, Kumar K., "Reliability estimation in generalized inverted exponential distribution with progressively type II censored sample", *J Stat Comput Simul*, Vol. 83(6), pp. 1007–1019, 2013.
- [10] Krishna H, Malik M. "Reliability estimation in Maxwell distribution with progressively type-II censored data", *J Stat Comput Simul*, Vol. 82(4), pp. 623–641, 2012.
- [11] Lindley, D. V., "Approximate Bayesian method" Trabajos de Estadística., Vol. 31, pp. 223–237, 1980.
- [12] Mann NR., "Best linear invariant estimation for Weibull parameters under progressive censoring", Technometrics, Vol. 13(3), pp. 521–533, 1971.
- [13] N. A. Abou-Elheggag, "Estimation for Rayleigh distribution using progressive first-failure censored data", *Journal of Statistics Applications and Probability*, Vol. 2(2), pp. 171-182, 2013.
- [14] N. Balakrishnan and R. Aggrawala, "Progressive censoring, theory, methods and applications", *Birkhauser, Boston.*,
- [15] N. Balakrishnan, "Progressive censoring methodology", an appraisal. TEST, Vol. 16(2), pp. 211-296, 2007.
- [16] Pandey, R. and Kumari, N., "A new lifetime distribution for modeling monotonic decreasing survival patterns", *Journal of Reliability and Statistical Studies*, Vol. 9(2), pp. 53-70, 2016.
- [17] Rastogi M.K., and Tripathi Y.M., "Estimation for an inverted exponentiated Rayleigh distribution under type II progressive censoring", *Journal of Applied Statistics*, Vol. 41, pp. 2375-2405, 2014.
- [18] Rastogi MK, Tripathi YM, Wu S-J., "Estimating the parameters of a bathtub-shaped distribution under progressive type II censoring", . J. Appl. Stat., Vol. 39, pp. 2389–2411, 2012.
- [19] S. K. Singh, Umesh Singh, and Vikas Kumar Sharma, "Bayesian estimation and prediction for flexible Weibull model under Type-II censoring scheme" *Journal of Probability and Statistics*, Vol. 16, 2013
- [20] Thomas DR, Wilson WM, "Linear order statistic estimation for the two parameter Weibull and extreme value distribution from type II progressively censored samples", *Technometrics*, Vol. 14(4), pp. 679–691. 1972.
- [21] Upadhyay, S.K. and Gupta, Ashutosh., "A Bayes analysis of modified Weibull distribution via Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation", *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, vol. 80, pp. 241 254, 2010.
- [22] W. C. Lee, J. W. Wu, M. L. Hong, L. S. Lin and R. L. Chan, "Assessing the lifetime performance index of Rayleigh products based on the Bayesian estimation under progressive type-II right censored samples", Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 235, pp. 1676-1688, 2011.