

## Synthesis of Some Quinoline 3-carbonitrile Derivatives and Their Biological Evaluation as Cytotoxic Agent

Fawzan A.Al-Balawi\*, Tariq R.Sobahi ,Khalid A.Khan and Hassan M. Faidallah

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203 Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

**Abstract:** A series of 2-Amino-4-substituted-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoline-3-carbonitriles supported with some functionalities reported to contribute to significant chemotherapeutic potential were synthesized and evaluated for their cytotoxic activity. Ten compounds exhibited cytotoxic potential against a panel of three human tumor cell lines. Compounds **13,14** and **17** proved to be the most active agents with a broad spectrum of cytotoxic activity. Analog **14** was considered as the most active cytotoxic agent, being about two times more active than doxorubicin against the colon HT29 carcinoma cell line.

**Keywords:** Synthesis, Quinoline-3-carbonitriles, Cytotoxic

### 1. Introduction

Cancer is a growing public problem whose estimated worldwide new incidence is about 6 million cases per year. It is the second major cause of death after cardiovascular diseases and is characterized by unregulated proliferation of cells. Therefore, such rapid spread of cancer has stimulated an unprecedented level of medicinal chemistry research activity directed towards the search for new structure leads that may be of use in designing novel antitumor drugs. In this view, much interest has been focussed on pyridines and pyridine fused-ring systems since they are proved to be biological versatile compounds possessing variety of activities. Among these, wide range of chemotherapeutic activities have been ascribed to pyridine derivatives including the antimicrobial<sup>1-3</sup>, antitubercular<sup>4,5</sup>, antiamoebic<sup>6</sup>, antiparasitic<sup>7,8</sup>, antiviral<sup>9,10</sup>. Moreover, particular interest has been focussed on cyanopyridine derivatives owing to their well documented anticancer<sup>11-15</sup> activities. Motivated by these facts, it was thought worthwhile to synthesize and investigate the anticancer and antimicrobial activities of some new hydroxyl- and amino-cyanopyridine derivatives. Furthermore, some structure hybrids comprising both the pyridine and some

biologically active rings such as aryl or theinyl moieties, in one and the same structure entity. This combination is suggested in an attempt to investigate the influence of such hybridization on the anticipated anticancer and/or antimicrobial activity, hoping to discover a new structure lead that would have a remarkable biological significance. The target compounds were rationalized so as to comprise the pharmacophores and functionalities that are believed to be responsible for the biological significance of some relevant anticancer agents. The substitution pattern of such derivatives was selected so as to confer different electronic environment to the molecules that would affect their pharmacokinetics.

## 2. Results and discussion

### 2.1 Chemistry

Treatment of the appropriate cycloalkane derivative with the arylidene malononitrile derivatives **1** in the presence of ammonium acetate afforded the corresponding 2-Amino-4-quinoline-3-carbonitriles **2-5** or the 2-amino-4-substituted -6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-cyclohepta[b]pyridine **6** respectively, in good yields.

12-6

#### Scheme 1

**2:R=4-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, R'=H, n=1; 3:R=4-CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, R'=H, n=1; 4:R=4-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, R'=CH<sub>3</sub>, n=1; 5:R=4-CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, R'=CH<sub>3</sub>, n=1; 6:R=4-CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, R'=H, n=2**

The formation of the above 2-aminotetraquinolines may be explained according to the following mechanism: The reaction seemed to be started by first addition of active hydrogen of compound **A** to the ethylenic double bond of

compound **B** to give **C**. Ammonia was added to the nitrile group in **C** to give **D** which loss a molecule of water to yield **E**, which in turn was converted to the final product by auto-oxidation.

The IR spectra of the 2-aminotetraquinolines **2-6** exhibited absorption bands within the range of 2215 - 2220 $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 3329-3394  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  for the CN and  $\text{NH}_2$  groups respectively. Their structure was further confirmed from their  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR which showed expected numbers of protons and as well as the expected number of aromatic and aliphatic carbons respectively. Reaction the appropriate 3-cyanoquinolinederivatives **2-6** with formic acid resulted in the formation of the corresponding tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-b]quinolin-4(3H)-ones **7-9** (Scheme 2). Their IR spectra were characterized by the absence of the CN group absorption and the appearance of new sharp absorption bands at 1646-1655  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  due to the new C=O groups as well as an NH absorption bands at 3282-3342  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Their  $^1\text{H}$  NMR which showed beside the aromatic protons an exchangeable singlet of one proton intensity at  $\delta$  7.81-8.20 for the NH group. The structures were further supported by  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectral data which showed the expected number of carbons signals (see experimental section). On the other hand, treatment the appropriate appropriate 2-Aminoquinolinederivatives **1-6** with acetic anhydride in presence of few drops of concentrated sulphuric acid gave the corresponding 2-methyl-tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-b]quinolin-4(3H)-ones

**10-12.** Their IR spectra of lacked the CN bands exists in the starting pyridines and exhibited a carbonyl absorption bands at  $1652-1657\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The  $^1\text{H-NMR}$  spectra showed beside the aromatic protons an exchangeable singlet of one proton intensity at  $\delta\ 8.02-8.17$  due to the NH group as well as a singlet of three proton intensity at  $\delta\ 2.21-2.44$  ppm due to the new  $\text{CH}_3$  group introduced in position-2. Moreover, their  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  spectral data exhibited beside the expected number of aliphatic and aromatic carbons, a new singlet at  $\delta\ 20.19-21.40$  ppm due to the  $\text{CH}_3$  group as well as a CO signal at  $\delta\ 171.80-172.39$ .

Condensation of the original compounds **1-6** with phenyl isothiocyanate in pyridine medium afforded the corresponding N-phenylthiocarbamoyl analogs **13-15**. The IR spectra of these compounds showed C=S absorption at  $1216-1229\text{ cm}^{-1}$  as well as an NH absorptions in the regions  $3322-3374\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The structures were further supported from their  $^1\text{H NMR}$  which showed the aromatic protons and two exchangeable singlets each of one proton intensity at the regions  $9.01-9.25$  and  $7.89-8.16$  for the NH and =nh respectively. Further confirmation for the structure arises from their  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  spectral data which exhibited the expected number of aliphatic and aromatic carbons as well as a thiocarbony signal at  $\delta\ 179.82-182.83$ . Furthermore heating the key intermediates **1-6** with formamide, afforded the corresponding pyrimido-quinoline derivatives **16-18** in good yields. The IR spectra of these compounds exhibited NH and  $\text{NH}_2$  absorption bands in the region  $3263-3393\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Their  $^1\text{H NMR}$  which showed beside the aromatic protons an exchangeable singlet of one proton intensity at  $\delta\ 7.83-8.15$  for the NH group. The structures were further supported by  $^{13}\text{C NMR}$  spectral data which showed the expected number of carbons signals (see experimental section).

### Scheme 2

#### 2.2 *In Vitro* MTT Cytotoxicity Assay.

All the synthesized compounds were evaluated for their *in vitro* cytotoxic effect via the standard MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] method<sup>16,17</sup> against a panel of three human tumor cell lines, namely, colon carcinoma HT29, hepatocellular carcinoma HePG2, and Caucasian breast adenocarcinoma MCF7. The results are presented in Table 1 as LC<sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M) which is the lethal concentration of the compound that causes death of 50% of the cells in 24 h. The obtained data revealed that the three tested human tumor cell lines exhibited variable degree of sensitivity profile towards ten of the tested compounds, namely, **2,3,7,8,10,11,13,14,16** and **17** whereas the rest compounds were either marginally active or even totally inactive. Regarding the activity against the human colon carcinoma HT29, this cell line proved to be very sensitive to all the ten active compounds. In particular, it revealed distinctive sensitivity towards compounds **13,14** and **17** (LC<sub>50</sub> 24.6, 22.4 and 29.3  $\mu$ M, resp.) even higher than doxorubicin (LC<sub>50</sub> 40.0  $\mu$ M), the reference standard cytotoxic agent utilized in this assay. Meanwhile, compounds **11** and **16** (LC<sub>50</sub> 49.4 and 42.4  $\mu$ M, resp.) were nearly equipotent to doxorubicin (LC<sub>50</sub> 40.0  $\mu$ M), whereas compounds **7,8** and **10** (LC<sub>50</sub> 70.5, 61.3 and 70.3  $\mu$ M, resp.) showed moderate cytotoxic potential against the same cell line. Shifting to the hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2, this cell line showed mild

to weak sensitivity towards seven of the tested analogs with LC<sub>50</sub> range 54.4-112.2  $\mu\text{M}$ , when compared to doxorubicin (LC<sub>50</sub> 3.0  $\mu\text{M}$ ). Among these, the highest activity was displayed by compounds **13,14** and **17** (LC<sub>50</sub> 60.5, 54.4 and 71.2  $\mu\text{M}$ , resp.). On the other hand, the human breast cancer MCF 7 emerged as the least sensitive among the cell lines tested as its growth was affected by the presence of only five test compounds. However, a remarkable growth inhibition potential was shown by analogs **13,14** and **17** as evidenced from their LC<sub>50</sub> values (LC<sub>50</sub> 9.4, 8.1 and 10.2  $\mu\text{M}$ , resp.), which represents about 40–60% of the activity of doxorubicin (LC<sub>50</sub> 4.0  $\mu\text{M}$ ). Further interpretation of the results revealed that compounds **13,14,16** and **17** showed considerable broad spectrum cytotoxic activity against the three tested human tumor cell lines. In particular, compounds **13,14** and **17** proved to be the most active members in this study with special effectiveness against both the colon carcinoma HT29 (almost twice as active as doxorubicin; LC<sub>50</sub> 24.6, 22.4 and 29.3 versus 40  $\mu\text{M}$ , resp.) and human breast cancer MCF 7 (about 40–60% of the activity of doxorubicin; LC<sub>50</sub> 9.4, 8.1, and 10.2 versus 4.0  $\mu\text{M}$ , resp.).

A close examination of the structures of the active compounds showed that the nature of substituent (R), together with ring entity (mono- or bicyclic), seemed to influence the cytotoxic activity. In this context, compounds substituted with the **4-CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>** counterpart (**3,8,11,14** and **17**) were in favor of better cytotoxic activity, when compared with their **4-CH<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>** congeners (**2,7,10,13** and **16**), as revealed from their LC<sub>50</sub> values in Table 1. Moreover, the bicyclic pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidines proved to be more active than the monocyclic nicotinonitriles. In this view, although the starting nicotinonitriles **2** and **3** lacked or have weak cytotoxic efficacy, yet the bicyclic pyrido[2,3-*d*]pyrimidine derivatives **7,8,10,11,13,14,16** and **17** showed overall mild to moderate activity, among which analog **14** (R = **4-CH<sub>3</sub>OC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>**) was relatively the most active regarding both potency and spectrum. However, cyclization of the appropriate nicotinonitriles with phenyl isothiocyanate yielded the two remarkable active analogs, namely, **13** and **14**.

**Table 1.** Cytotoxic effects LC<sub>50</sub> (μM)<sup>a</sup> of the active compounds on some human tumor cell lines using the MTT assay.

Compd no.	Human colon carcinoma HT29	Human hepatocellular carcinoma HePG2	Human breast cancer MCF 7
2	148.4	112.2	- <sup>b</sup>
3	130.5	-	-
7	70.5	-	-
8	61.3	109.5	-
10	70.3	111.5	-
11	49.4	91.8	86.4
13	24.6	5	9.4
14	22.4	54.4	8.1
16	42.4	-	20.6
17	29.3	71.2	10.2
<b>Doxorubicin<sup>c</sup></b>	40.0	3.0	4.0

<sup>a</sup>LC50: Lethal concentration of the compound which causes death of 50% of cells in 24h (μM).

<sup>b</sup>Totally inactive against this cell line.

<sup>c</sup> positive control cytotoxic agent.

## Experimental

Melting points were determined on a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FT-IR 8400S infrared spectrophotometer using the KBr pellet technique. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker WM-600 FT NMR spectrometer using tetramethylsilane as the internal standard and DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> as a solvent (Chemical shifts in δ, ppm). Splitting patterns were designated as follows: *s*: singlet; *d*: doublet; *m*: multiplet; *q*: quartet. Elemental analyses were performed on a 2400 Perkin Elmer Series 2 analyzer and the found values were within ±0.4% of the theoretical values. Follow up of the reactions and checking the homogeneity of the compounds were made by TLC on silica gel-protected aluminum sheets (Type 60 F254, Merck) and the spots were detected by exposure to UV-lamp at λ 254.

**2-Amino-4-substituted-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoline-3-carbonitriles (2&3), 2-Amino-8-methyl-4-substituted-5,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinoline-3-carbonitriles (4&5) and 2-amino-4-substituted-6,7,8,9-tetrahydro-5H-cyclohepta[b]pyridine-3-carbonitrile (6)**

A mixture of appropriate cyclic ketone (10mmol), arylidene malononitril derivative **1**(10 mmol) and excess ammonium acetate (6.2 g, 80 mmol) in absolute ethanol (30 mL) was heated under reflux for 4-6 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After complete conversion, as indicated by TLC, the solid material which separated during heating was collected by filtration and recrystallized from a mixture of appropriate solvent as needles.

**2:** Recrystallized from ethanol as needles. (2.02g, 73%) m.p.240-242°C.  $\nu_{\max}$ . (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3415, 3173(NH<sub>2</sub>), 2224(CN). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.53-2.84 (4m,8H, Cyclohexyl H); 2.38 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 6.05 (s,2H,NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.11-7.25 (m,4H,ArH). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):21.32(CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.34, 24.51, 28.32, 33.9 (cyclohexylC), 115.61(CN), 87.51, 116.62, 121.12, 128.02, 129.45, 133.64, 151.45, 154.71, 162,62 (Ar C). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>: C, 77.54; H, 6.51; N, 15.96. Found: C, 77.48; H, 6.72; N, 16.10.

**3:** Recrystallized from ethanol as needles. (2.02g, 73%) m.p.218-220°C.  $\nu_{\max}$ . (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3405, 3210(NH<sub>2</sub>), 2221(CN). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.39-2.48 (4m,8H, Cyclohexyl H); 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 6.08 (s,2H,NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.08-7.21 (m,4H,ArH). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 22.70,23.12,24.11, 29.12 (cyclohexylC),55.80(CH<sub>3</sub>O) 115.31(CN), 87.42, 113.71,125.40,126.22,129.60,152.00,159.81,160.21,161.11 (Ar C). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O: C, 73.10; H, 6.13; N, 15.04. Found: C, 73.22; H, 6.28; N, 15.10.

**4:** Recrystallized from ethanol as needles. (2.02g, 73%) m.p.210-212°C.  $\nu_{\max}$ . (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3416, 3186(NH<sub>2</sub>), 2220(CN). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.33 (d J=10Hz, 3H ,CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.47- 3.23(4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H); 2.33 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 6.16 (s,2H,NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.24-7.54 (m,4H,ArH). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 17.75(CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.91(CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.9, 24.51, 28.32, 33.9 (cyclohexylC), 114.61(CN), 86.11,



115.92, 120.14, 128.32, 129.56, 133.77, 150.65, 156.01, 162.82 (Ar C). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>: C, 77.95; H, 6.90; N, 15.15. Found: C, 78.08; H, 6.72; N, 15.40.

**5:** Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles. (1.9g, 68%) m.p.177-179°C.  $\nu_{\max}$ . (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3410, 3175(NH<sub>2</sub>), 2218(CN). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.35 (d J=10Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.68, 2.39, 2.88 (4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H); 6.12 (s,2H,NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.10-7.26 (m,4H,ArH); 3.75(s,3H,OCH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 17.8(CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.99, 24.56, 28.35, 33.98(cyclohexylC), 56.04(OCH<sub>3</sub>), 114.65(CN), 86.08, 115.94, 120.23, 128.36, 129.73, 133.84, 150.72, 156.08, 162.95 (Ar C). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O: C, 73.70; H, 6.53; N, 14.32. Found: C, 73.42; H, 6.71; N, 14.09.

**6:** Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles. (2.02g, 73%) m.p.220-223°C.  $\nu_{\max}$ . (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3415, 3265(NH<sub>2</sub>), 2222(CN). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.67-2.85(4m,10H, Cycloheptyl H); 3.81 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 6.08 (s,2H,NH<sub>2</sub>), 7.07-7.18 (m,4H,ArH). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 26.21,28.41,28.71,32.63,36.12 (cycloheptylC), 55.80(CH<sub>3</sub>O) 115.09(CN), 87.22, 113.58,125.34,126.51,129.18,151.87,159.34,160.78,161.43 (Ar C). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O: C, 73.69; H, 6.53; N, 14.32. Found: C, 73.72; H, 6.35; N, 14.24.

**9-Methyl-5-substituted -6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-b]quinolin-4(3H)-one (7&8) and 5-Substituted-3,6,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-4H-cyclohepta[5,6]pyrido[2,3-d]- pyrimidin-4-one (9)**

A mixture of the appropriate tetrahydroquinoline derivative (10 mmol) and formic acid (5 ml) was heated in a boiling water bath for 30 min. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction mixture was poured onto ice-cold water, the precipitated solid product was filtered, washed with water, dried and recrystallized from the appropriate solvent.

**7:** Rrecrystallized from methanol as needles. (2.0g,70%) m.p.137-139 °C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3252(NH), 1668 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.30(d J=10Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 2.37(s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.53- 2.67 (4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H); 6.84-7.32(m,4H,ArH); 7.89 (s, 1H,NH) . <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 17.52(CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.21 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.56, 28.29, 30.34, 35.99 (cyclohexyl C), 88.20,

116.52, 118.24, 122.17, 130.05, 131.50, 135.67, 152.43, 157.82, 164.90 (ArC), 170.99 (CO).

Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O: C, 74.73; H, 6.27; N, 13.76. Found: C, 74.51; H, 6.47; N, 13.53.

**8:** Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles.(2.3g, 72%) m.p.186-188 °C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3247(NH), 1670 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.36(d J=10Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 3.73(s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 1.52- 2.72 (4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H); 6.97-7.26(m,4H,ArH); 7.91 (s, 1H,NH) . <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 17.9(CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.52, 28.35, 30.43, 35.96 (cyclohexyl C), 56.02(OCH<sub>3</sub>), 91.87, 116.51, 118.22, 122.18, 130.05, 131.56, 135.67, 152.44, 157.89, 164.92 (ArC), 171.06 (CO).

Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.01; H, 5.96; N, 13.07. Found: C, 71.32; H, 5.73; N, 12.91.

**9:** Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles.(2.3g, 72%) m.p.220-223 °C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3265(NH), 1671 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 3.73(s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 1.75-3.10 (3m,10H, Cycloheptyl H); 7.01-7.72(m,4H,ArH); 8.12 (s, 1H,NH) . <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):26.23,28.41,28.71,32.71,37.78 (cyclohexyl C), 55.82(OCH<sub>3</sub>), 114.81,119.72,129.56,130.21,135.08,145.76,151.91,152.52,156.70,161.12(ArC), 169.12 (CO).

Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>19</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.01; H, 5.96; N, 13.07. Found: C, 71.24; H, 6.08; N, 13.02.

**2,2,9-Dimethyl-5-substituted -6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrimido[4,5-b]quinolin-4(3H)-ones (10&11) and 2-Methyl-5-2ubstituted-3,6,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-4H-cyclohepta[5,6]-pyridol[2,3-d]- pyrimidin-4-one (12)**

A mixture of the appropriate tetrahydroquinoline derivative(10 mmol), acetic anhydride (5 ml) and conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.5 ml) was heated in a boiling water bath for 10 min, then cooled, poured onto ice-cold water, treated with 20% NaOH solution till alkaline (pH 11), the crude solid product was filtered, dried and recrystallized from the appropriate solvent.

**10:** Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles. (1.66g, 52%) m.p.135-137°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3170 (NH), 1705 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.12(s,3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 2.35(s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.36(d J=10Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.63, 1.73, 2.24, 2.68 (4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H); 7.01-7.59 (m,4H, ArH); 8.14 (s,1H,NH). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 19.82(CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.86(CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.68 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.21, 26.63, 30.8, 35.66 (cyclohexyl C), 88.24, 116.23, 118.83, 122.73, 130.45, 131.22, 135.18, 151.99, 157.26,

164.14 (ArC), 172.35 (CO). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O: C, 75.21; H, 6.63; N, 13.16. Found: C, 75.41; H, 6.47; N, 13.25.

**11:** Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles. (1.37g, 41%) m.p.122-124°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3175(NH), 1684 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.13(s, 3H ,CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.39 (d J=10Hz, 3H ,CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.62, 1.77, 2.22, 2.89 (4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H); 6.83-7.25 (m,4H,ArH); 8.12 (s,1H,NH) ; 3.74 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>) . <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 18.34 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 21.66 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 22.14, 26.64, 30.91, 35.77 (cyclohexyl C), 56.01 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 88.25, 116.33, 118.95, 122.77, 130.48, 131.18, 135.16, 151.96, 157.18, 164.09 (ArC), 172.39 (CO). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.62; H, 6.31; N, 12.53. Found: C, 71.54; H, 6.42; N, 12.70.

**12:**Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles. (1.37g, 41%) m.p.178-180°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3275(NH), 1687 (C=O). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>):2.42(s,3H,CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.74(s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 1.73-3.11 (3m,10H, Cycloheptyl H); 7.11-7.70(m,4H,ArH); 8.02 (s, 1H,NH) . <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 21.41(CH<sub>3</sub>),26.31,28.31,28.67,32.81,37.66 (cycloheptylC),55.80(OCH<sub>3</sub>),114.90,119.76,129.43,130.32,135.32,145.89,151.65,152.45,156.90,161.23(ArC), 169.23 (CO). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 71.62; H, 6.31; N, 12.53. Found: C, 71.54; H, 6.42; N, 12.70.

**4-Imino-3-phenyl-5-substituted-3,4,6,7,8,9-hexahydropyrimidof[4,5-b]quinoline-2(1H)-thione (13), 4-Imino-9-methyl-3-phenyl-5-substituted -3,4,6,7,8,9-hexahydropyri- midof[4,5-b]quinoline-2(1H)-thiones (14&15)and4-Imino-3-**

A mixture of appropriate tetrahydroquinoline derivative(10 mmol), phenyl isothiocyanate (0.15 g, 15 mmol) in pyridine (15 ml) was refluxed for 4 h. After cooling, the solid product was filtered off, washed thoroughly with water, dried and recrystallized from the appropriate solvent.

**13:**Rrecrystallized from methanol as needles. (3.34g, 76%) m.p.134-136°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3345(NH), 1651 (C=N), 1187 (C=S). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.42-2.54 (4m,8H, Cyclohexyl H);2.35 (s, 3H ,CH<sub>3</sub>); 6.84-7.70(m,9H,ArH); 7.95(s,1H,=NH),9.12(s,1H,NH). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 19.96, 24.23, 28.65, 30.45 (cyclohexyl C), 21.32(CH<sub>3</sub>), 91.78, 109.90, 124.62,

124.92, 12543, 126.45, 128.62, 128.76, 138.33, 139.39, 149.32, 156.90 (ArC), 163.21 (C=NH), 183.28 (CS). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>4</sub>S: C, 72.33; H, 5.56; N,14.06. Found: C, 72.25; H, 5.67; N, 14.17.

**14:** Rrecrystallized from methanol as needles. (3.34g, 76%) m.p.134-136°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3365(NH), 1641 (C=N), 1195 (C=S). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.38 (d, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.55, 1.73, 2.48, 2.65 (4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H);2.33 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 6.87-7.67(m,9H,ArH); 7.98(s,1H,=NH),9.01(s,1H,NH). <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 17.35 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.54, 24.34, 28.25, 30.26 (cyclohexyl C), 20.93(CH<sub>3</sub>), 90.32, 109.81, 124.55, 124.87, 125.35, 126.58, 128.45, 128.87, 138.42, 139.32, 149.66, 156.71 (ArC), 163.72 (C=NH), 182.23 (CS). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>S: C, 60.38; H, 4.43; N,11.74. Found: C, 60.25; H, 4.26; N, 11.71.

**15:** Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles. (3.34g, 78%) m.p.134-136°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):3341,14(NH), 1635 (C=N), 1203 (C=S). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.31 (d J=10Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.52, 1.72-2.80 (4m,7H, Cyclohexyl H);3.83 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>); 8.16(s,1H,=NH); 9.25 (s,1H,NH); 6.65-7.22(m,9H,ArH); <sup>13</sup>CNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 17.42 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 18.58, 24.4, 28.21, 30.15 (cyclohexyl C), 56.02(CH<sub>3</sub>O),109.8, 124.54, 124.7, 125.57, 126.88, 128.83, 129.18, 138.32, 139.21, 149.65, 156.86 (ArC), 163.87 (C=NH), 179.82 (CS). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>4</sub>OS: C, 70.07; H, 5.64; N, 13.07. Found: C, 69.98; H, 5.66; N, 13.15.

**4-Amino-9-methyl-5-substituted-3,4,6,7,8,9-hexahydropyrimido[4,5-b]quinolins (16&17) and 4-Amino-5-substituted-4,6,7,8,9,10-hexahydro-3H-cyclohepta[5,6]-pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidines (18)**

A mixture of the appropriate tetrahydroquinoline (10 mmol) and formamide (10 ml) was heated under reflux for 2-3 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and the precipitated solid product was collected, washed with cold ethanol and recrystallized from the appropriate solvent.

**16:** Rrecrystallized from DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O as needles. (2.37g, 78%) m.p.200-201°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr): 3358, 3410 (NH). <sup>1</sup>HNMR ( $\delta$ /ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.34(d J=10Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>); 2.35(s,3H,CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.63,

2.55, 2.94(4m,7H,Cyclohexyl H); 6.62(s,2H,NH<sub>2</sub>); 7.12-7.37 (m,4H,ArH);8.15(s, 1H, H-2).  
<sup>13</sup>CNMR (δ/ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 16.25 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 20.92 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.98, 25.16, 26.08, 29.45 (cyclohexyl C),  
94.34, 111.54, 112.54, 113.78, 113.89, 121.98, 127.23, 127.65, 127.98, 149.35, 158.00(ArC).  
Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>: C, 74.97; H, 6.62; N,18.41. Found: C,75.13; H, 6.49; N;18.56.

**17:**Rrecrystallized from methanol as needles. (2.65g, 83%) m.p.121-123°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>, KBr):  
3360, 3489 (NH<sub>2</sub>).<sup>1</sup>HNMR (δ/ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.35(d, 3H ,CH<sub>3</sub>); 1.53, 1.65, 2.53, 2.68(4m,7H,  
Cyclohexyl H); 3.72(s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.52(s,2H, NH<sub>2</sub>); 6.38-7.36 (m,4H,ArH);8.12(s, 1H, H-2).  
<sup>13</sup>CNMR (δ/ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 15.65 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 19.8, 24.75, 26.02, 29.34 (cyclohexyl C);  
56.21(CH<sub>3</sub>O), 94.28, 111.47, 112.54, 113.71, 113.85, 121.90, 127.12, 127.75, 127.92, 149.23,  
157.02(ArC). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O: C, 71.23; H, 6.29; N,17.49. Found: C,71.12; H, 6.40;  
N;17.60.

**18:**Rrecrystallized from ethanol as needles. (1.37g, 41%) m.p.270-272°C.  $\nu_{\max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>,  
KBr):3371, 3445 (NH<sub>2</sub>).<sup>1</sup>HNMR (δ/ppm, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>): 1.73-3-08 (3m,10H, Cyclohexyl H); 3.74(s,  
3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 6.62(s,2H, NH<sub>2</sub>); 7.02-7.69 (m,4H,ArH);8.15(s, 1H, H-2).. <sup>13</sup>CNMR (δ/ppm, DMSO-  
d<sub>6</sub>): 26.51,28.56,28.49,32.91,37.54  
(cycloheptylC),55.80(OCH<sub>3</sub>),107.03,114.76,119.84,129.34,130.21,135.39,145.90,151.32, 152.34,  
156.67, 161.31(ArC). Anal.% Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O: C, 71.23; H, 6.29; N,17.49. Found: C,71.41;  
H, 6.32; N;17.52

### 3.1. In Vitro MTT Cytotoxicity Assay.

The synthesized compounds were investigated for their *in vitro* cytotoxic effect via the standard 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) method<sup>16,17</sup> against a panel of three human tumor cell lines, namely, Caucasian breast adenocarcinoma MCF7, hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2, and colon carcinoma HT29 and a normal nontransformed human foreskin fibroblast Hs27 cell line. The procedures were done in a sterile area using a laminar flow cabinet biosafety class II level (Baker, SG403INT, Stanford, ME, USA). Cells were batch-cultured

for 10 days and then seeded at concentration of  $10 \times 10^3$  cells/well in fresh complete growth medium in 96-well microtiter plastic plates at 37°C for 24 h under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> using a water jacketed carbon dioxide incubator (Sheldon, TC2323, Cornelius, OR, USA). Media was aspirated, fresh medium (without serum) was added, and cells were incubated either alone (negative control) or with different concentrations of the test compounds to give a final concentration of 100-50-25-12.5-6.25-3.125-1.56-0.78  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . DMSO was employed as a vehicle for dissolution of the tested compounds and its final concentration on the cells was less than 0.2%. Cells were suspended in RPMI 1640 medium (for HepG2 and HT29 cell lines) and DMEM (for MCF 7 cell line), 1% antibiotic-antimycotic mixture (10,000 IU/mL Penicillin Potassium, 10,000  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  Streptomycin Sulphate, and 25  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  Amphotericin B), and 1% L-Glutamine in 96-well flat bottom microplate at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After 24 h of incubation, the medium was aspirated and 40  $\mu\text{L}$  of MTT salt (2.5  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) was added to each well and incubated for further 4 h at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. To stop the reaction and dissolve the formed crystals, 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) in deionized water was added to each well and incubated overnight at 37°C. The absorbance was then measured using a microplate multiwell reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., model 3350, Hercules, California, USA) at 595 nm and a reference wavelength of 620 nm. A statistical significance was tested between samples and negative control (cells with vehicle) using independent *t*-test by SPSS 11 program. The results are presented in Table 1 as LC<sub>50</sub> ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) which is the lethal concentration of the compound which causes death of 50% of the cells in 24 h.

#### 4. Reference

1. Abdel-Aziz, A. A., El-Subbagh, H. I., and Kunieda, T., *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **13** (2005) 4929-4935.
2. Aridoss, G., Balasubramanian, S., Parthiban, P., and Kabilan, S., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **42** (2007) 851-860.
3. Srivastava, B. K., Solanki, M., Mishra, B., Soni, R., Jayadev, S., Valani, D., Jain, M., and Patel, P. R., *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **15** (2007) 1924-1929.
4. Mamolo, M. G., Falagiani, V., Vio, L., and Banfi, E., *Il Farmaco*, **54** (1999) 761-767.
5. Ranft, D., Seyfarth, T., Schaper, K.-J., Lehwerk-Yvetot, G., Bruhn, C., and Buegem, A., *Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem.*, **332** (1999) 427-430.

6. Pagliero, R. J., Lusvarghi, S., Pierini, A. B., Brun, R., and Mazzieri, M. R., *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **18** (2010) 142-150.
7. Abid, M., Husain, K., Azam, A., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **15** (2005) 4375-4379.
8. Goebel, T., Ulmer, D., Projahn, H., Kloeckner, J., Heller, E., Glaser, M., Ponte-Sucre, A., Specht, S., Sarite, S. R., Hoerauf, A., Kaiser, A., Hauber, I., Hauber, J., and Holzgrabe, U., *J. Med. Chem.*, **51** (2008) 238-250.
9. Dragovich, P. S., Prins, T. J., Zhou, R., Johnson, T. O., Brown, E. L., Maldonado, F. C., Fuhrman, S. A., Zalman, L. S., Patick, A. K., Matthews, D. A., Hou, X., Meador, J. W., Ferre, R. A., and Worland, S. T., *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, **12** (2002) 733-738.
10. Gudmundsson, K. S., Johns, B. A., Wang, Z., Turner, E. M., Allen, S. H., Freeman, G. A., Boyd Jr, F. L., Sexton, C. J., Selleseth, D. W., Moniri, K. R., and Creech, K. L. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, **13** (2005) 5346-5361.
11. Cocco, M. T., Congiu, C., Lilliu, V., and Onnis, V., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **40** (2005) 1365-1372.
12. Ghorab M. M., Ragab F. A., Hamed M. M., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **44** (2009) 4211-4217.
13. Alqasoumi S. I., Al-Taweel A. M., Alafeefy A. M., Ghorab M. M., Noaman E., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, **45** (2010) 1849-1853.
14. Liu Y.-Q., Yang L., Tian X., *Med. Chem. Res.*, **16** (2007) 319-330.
15. Heiniger B., Gakhar G., Prasain K., Hua D. H., Nguyen T. A., *Anticancer Res.*, **30** (2010) 3927-3932.
16. T. Mosmann, "Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays," *Journal of Immunological Methods*, vol. 65, no. 1-2, pp. 55-63, 1983.
17. F. Denizot and R. Lang, "Rapid colorimetric assay for cell growth and survival. Modifications to the tetrazolium dye procedure giving improved sensitivity and reliability," *Journal of Immunological Methods*, vol. 89, no. 2, pp. 271-277, 1986.