

Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy S-Open and S-Closed Mappings

Mukesh Kumar Pathak

(Research Scholar)

P G. Department of Mathematics L. N. M. U. DARBHANGA (BIHAR)

1.Introduction

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by Zadeh in his classical paper [1]. This concept provides a natural foundation for treating mathematically the fuzzy phenomena, which exist pervasively in our real world, and for building new branches of fuzzy mathematics. In the area of Fuzzy Topology, introduced by Chang[2], much attention has been paid to generalize the basic concepts of General Topology in fuzzy setting and thus a modern theory of Fuzzy Topology has been developed.

In recent years, Fuzzy Topology has been found to be very useful in solving many practical problems. Du et al. [3] fuzzified the very successful 9-intersection Egenhofer model [4, 5] for depicting topological relations in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) query. In [6, 7], El Naschie showed that the notion of Fuzzy Topology might be relevant to quantum particle physics and quantum gravity in connection with string theory and $e\infty$ theory. Tang [8] used a slightly changed version of Chang's fuzzy topological space to model spatial objects for GIS databases and Structured Query Language (SQL) for GIS.

Levine [9] introduced the concepts of semi-open sets and semicontinuous mappings in topological spaces. Interestingly, his work found applications in the field of Digital Topology [10]. For example, it was found that the digital line is a $T_{1/2}$ -space [11], which is a weaker separation axiom based upon semi-open sets. Fuzzy Digital Topology [12] was introduced by A. Rosenfeld, which demonstrated the need for the fuzzification of weaker forms of notions of Classical Topology. Azad [13] carried out this fuzzification in 1981, and presented some general properties of fuzzy spaces. Several properties of fuzzy semi-open (resp., fuzzy semi-closed), fuzzy regular open (resp., closed) sets have been discussed. More-over he defined fuzzy semicontinuous (resp., semi-open and semi-closed) functions and studied the properties of fuzzy semicontinuous function in product related spaces. Finally, he defined and characterized fuzzy almost continuous mappings. For related subsequent work in this direction, we refer to [14–27].

In this paper, our aim is to further contribute to the study of fuzzy semi-open and fuzzy semi-closed sets defined by Yalvac [26] by establishing several important fundamental identities and

inequalities supported by counterexamples. Cameron and Woods [28] introduced the concepts of s-continuous mappings and s-open mappings. They investigated the properties of these mappings and their relationships to properties of semi-open sets. Khan and Ahmad [29] further worked on the characterizations and properties of s-continuous, s-open and s-closed mappings. We fuzzify the findings of [28, 29]. We define fuzzy s-open and fuzzy s-closed mappings and establish some interesting characterizations of these mappings.

2.Preliminaries

In order to make this paper self-contained, we briefly recall certain definitions and results; for those not described, we refer to [1, 2, 13, 26].

Let $X = \{x\}$ be a space of points (objects), with a generic element x . A fuzzy set λ in X is characterized by membership function $\lambda(x)$ from X to the unit interval $[0,1]$.

The symbol Φ denotes the empty fuzzy set defined as $\mu_{\Phi}(x) = 0$, for all $x \in X$. For X , the membership function is defined as $\mu_X(x)=1$, for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1 (see [2]). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping. Let β be a fuzzy set in Y with membership function $\beta(y)$. Then the inverse of β , written as $f^{-1}(\beta)$, is a fuzzy set in X whose membership function is defined by

$$X. \quad (1) \quad f^{-1}(\beta)(x) = \beta(f(x)), \quad \forall x \in X$$

Conversely, let λ be a fuzzy set in X with membership function $\lambda(x)$. The image of λ , written as $f(\lambda)$, is a fuzzy set in Y whose membership function is given by

$$f(\lambda)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \{\lambda(x)\}, & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \text{ is nonempty,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2 (see [2]). A fuzzy topology is a family τ of fuzzy sets in X , which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\Phi, X \in \tau$;
- (2) If $\lambda, \mu \in \tau$, then $\lambda \wedge \mu \in \tau$;
- (3) If $\lambda_i \in \tau$ for each $i \in I$, then $\bigvee_i \lambda_i \in \tau$.

τ is called a fuzzy topology for X , and the pair (X, τ) is an fts. Every member of τ is called τ -open fuzzy set (or simply an open fuzzy set). A fuzzy set is τ -closed if and only if its complement is τ -open. As in general topology, the indiscrete fuzzy topology contains only Φ and X , while the discrete fuzzy topology contains all fuzzy sets.

3.Fuzzy Semi-Open and Fuzzy Semi-Closed Sets

Definition 3 (see [13]). Let λ be a fuzzy set in an fts (X, τ) . Then λ is called a fuzzy semi-open set of X , if there exists a $v \in \tau$ such that $v \leq \lambda \leq Clv$. A fuzzy set μ is fuzzy semi closed if and only if its complement μ^c is fuzzy semi-open. The class of all fuzzy semi-open (resp., fuzzy semi-closed) sets in X is denoted by $FSO(X)$ (resp., $FSC(X)$).

Definition 4 (see [26]). Let λ be a fuzzy set in an fts X . Then semi-closure (briefly sCl) and semi-interior (briefly $sInt$) of λ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} sCl\lambda &= \wedge\{\beta \mid \lambda \leq \beta, \beta \text{ fuzzy semi-closed}\} \\ sint\lambda &= \vee\{\beta \mid \beta \leq \lambda, \beta \text{ fuzzy semi-open}\} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and are called the fuzzy semi-closure of λ and fuzzy semi interior of λ , respectively.

It is immediate that

- (1) $sCl\lambda \geq \lambda$ and $sInt\lambda \leq \lambda$;
- (2) $\lambda \leq \mu \Rightarrow sCl\lambda \leq sCl\mu, sInt\lambda \leq sInt\mu$.

It is known [13] that a fuzzy set λ in an fts X is

- (1) fuzzy semi-closed if and only if $IntCl\lambda \leq \lambda$ (resp., $sCl\lambda = \lambda$);
- (2) fuzzy semi-open if and only if $\lambda \leq ClInt\lambda$ (resp., $sInt\lambda = \lambda$).

The following are characterizations of fuzzy semi-closed sets, the proof of Theorem 1 is straightforward.

Theorem 1. A fuzzy set λ is fuzzy semi-closed if and only if there exists a fuzzy closed set ψ such that $Int\psi \leq \lambda \leq \psi$.

Theorem 2. For a fuzzy set λ in an fts X , λ is fuzzy semi-closed if and only if $sIntsCl\lambda \leq \lambda$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) If λ is a fuzzy semi-closed set, that is, $\lambda = sCl\lambda$, then $sIntsCl\lambda = sInt\lambda \leq \lambda$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $sInt(sCl\lambda) \leq \lambda$. Since $sCl\lambda$ is fuzzy semi closed, so there exists a closed set ψ such that $Int\psi \leq sCl\lambda \leq \psi$. Thus $Int\psi \leq sIntsCl\lambda \leq \lambda \leq sCl\lambda \leq \psi$ or $Int\psi \leq \lambda \leq \psi$. Hence λ is fuzzy semi-closed and $\lambda = sCl\lambda$.

Remark 1. It is easily seen that

(1) if λ is fuzzy semi-open (resp., fuzzy semi-closed), then $Int\lambda$, $sInt\lambda$, $sCl\lambda$, and $Cl\lambda$ are fuzzy semi-open (resp., fuzzy semi-closed);

(2) a nonvoid nowhere dense fuzzy set λ is fuzzy semi closed and not fuzzy semi-open.

The converse of Remark 1(2) is, in general, not true as is shown by following.

Example 1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be a set and $I = \{0, .3, .5, .7, 1\}$ be the lattice of membership grades for fuzzy sets in X . Let $\mu = \{a.7, b0, c1\}$, $\nu = \{a.7, b.5, c.3\}$, and $\omega = \{a.5, b.5, c.5\}$ be fuzzy sets on X , and τ the fuzzy topology generated by μ , ν , and ω . Then $\tau = \{\Phi, \mu, \nu, \omega, \{a.5, b0, c.5\}, \{a.5, b.5, c.3\}, \{a.7, b0, c.3\}, \{a.7, b0, c.5\}, \{a.7, b.5, c.5\}, \{a.7, b.5, c1\}, X\}$. Calculations give that fuzzy set ω is both fuzzy semi-closed and fuzzy semi-open but $IntCl\omega = \omega$.

Theorem3. For fuzzy sets λ and μ in an fts X , one has

(1) $sInt(\lambda \vee \mu) \geq sInt\lambda \vee sInt\mu$;

(2) $sInt(\lambda \wedge \mu) = sInt\lambda \wedge sInt\mu$;

(3) $sCl(\lambda \vee \mu) = sCl\lambda \vee sCl\mu$;

(4) $sCl(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq sCl\lambda \wedge sCl\mu$.

Proof. (1) $sInt\lambda$ and $sInt\mu$ are both fuzzy semi-open. $\lambda \leq \lambda \vee \mu$, $\mu \leq \lambda \vee \mu$ imply $sInt\lambda \leq sInt(\lambda \vee \mu)$ and $sInt\mu \leq sInt(\lambda \vee \mu)$. Combining, $sInt\lambda \vee sInt\mu \leq sInt(\lambda \vee \mu)$ or

$$sint(\lambda \vee \mu) \geq sint\lambda \sqcup sint\mu \quad (4)$$

(2) $\lambda \wedge \mu \leq \lambda$ and $\lambda \wedge \mu \leq \mu$ imply $sInt(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq sInt\lambda \wedge sInt\mu$. Conversely $sInt\lambda \leq \lambda$ and $sInt\mu \leq \mu$ imply $sInt\lambda \wedge sInt\mu \leq \lambda \wedge \mu$ and $sInt\lambda \wedge sInt\mu$ is fuzzy semi open. But $sInt(\lambda \wedge \mu)$ is the largest fuzzy semi open set such that $sInt(\lambda \wedge \mu) \leq \lambda \wedge \mu$, hence $sInt\lambda \wedge sInt\mu \leq sInt(\lambda \wedge \mu)$. This gives the equality.

(3) and (4) follow easily from (2).

The inequalities (1) and (4) of Theorem 3, are in general irreversible, as is shown by following.

Example 2. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ be a set and $I = \{0, .5, 1\}$ be the lattice of membership grades for fuzzy sets on X . Let $\mu = \{a.5, b1\}$, $\nu = \{a.0, b1\}$, and $\omega = \{a1, b.5\}$ be fuzzy sets on X , and τ the fuzzy topology generated by μ, ν , and ω . Then

$$\tau = \{\Phi, \mu, \nu, \omega, \{a.5, b.5\}, \{a0, b.5\}, X\} \quad . (5)$$

We choose fuzzy sets $\alpha = \{a.5, b_0\}$, $\beta = \{a_0, b.5\}$, $\gamma = \{a.5, b1\}$ and $\delta = \{a1, b.5\}$. Then calculations give that

$$sInt \{ \alpha \vee \beta \} = \{a.5, b.5\} < \{a0, b.5\} = sInt \alpha \vee sInt \beta,$$

$$sCl \gamma \wedge sCl \delta = \{ a1, b.5 \} / < \{a.5, b.5\} = sCl \gamma \wedge \delta \text{-----}. (6)$$

It is known [30] that for a fuzzy set λ in an fts X , we have

(1) $Cl sCl \lambda = Cl \lambda$;

(2) $Int Cl \lambda \leq sCl \lambda$.

We use this and Theorem 1, and prove the following.

Theorem 4. Let λ be a fuzzy set in an fts X . Then one has the following:

(1) $sCl sCl \lambda = sCl \lambda$ ($sInt sInt \lambda = sInt \lambda$);

(2) $sInt sCl \lambda \geq Int Cl \lambda$;

(3) $Int sInt sCl \lambda = Int Cl \lambda$;

(4) $sInt sCl \lambda \leq Int Cl \lambda \vee \lambda$.

Proof. (1) By the fact that $sCl \lambda$ is fuzzy semi-closed and that λ is fuzzy semi-closed if and only if $sCl \lambda = \lambda$, it follows immediately.

(2) Since, $Int Cl \lambda \leq sCl \lambda$, so that $Int Cl \lambda \leq sInt sCl \lambda$, since all fuzzy open sets are fuzzy semi-open.

(3) By (2), $Int Cl \lambda \leq sInt sCl \lambda$, so that $Int Cl \lambda \leq Int sInt sCl \lambda$. Also $sCl \lambda \leq Cl \lambda$, so that $sInt sCl \lambda \leq Cl \lambda$ and $Int sInt sCl \lambda \leq Int Cl \lambda$. Consequently, we get (3).

(4) Now $\text{IntCl}\lambda \leq \text{IntCl}\lambda \vee \lambda \leq \text{Cl}\lambda$ so by Theorem 1, $\text{IntCl}\lambda \vee \lambda$ is fuzzy semi-closed. Also $\lambda \leq \text{IntCl}\lambda \vee \lambda$. Since $\text{sCl}\lambda$ is the smallest fuzzy semi-closed set with $\lambda \leq \text{sCl}\lambda$, therefore, $\text{sCl}\lambda \leq \text{IntCl}\lambda \vee \lambda$. Which implies $\text{sIntsCl}\lambda \leq \text{sCl}\lambda \leq \text{IntCl}\lambda \vee \lambda$ or $\text{sIntsCl}\lambda \leq \text{IntCl}\lambda \vee \lambda$.

The inequalities (2) and (4) of Theorem 4 may, in general, not be reversible as is shown in the following.

Example 3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ be a set and $I = \{0, .3, .5, .7, 1\}$ be the lattice of membership grades for fuzzy sets on X . Let $\mu = \{a.5, b.1, c.3\}$, $\nu = \{a.0, b.5, c.1\}$, and $\omega = \{a.3, b.7, c.5\}$

be fuzzy sets on X , and τ the fuzzy topology generated by μ , ν , and ω . Then $\tau = \{\Phi, \mu, \nu, \omega, \{a.0, b.5, c.5\}, \{a.0, b.5, c.3\}, \{a.3, b.7, c.3\}, \{a.5, b.1, c.5\}, \{a.3, b.7, c.1\}, \{a.5, b.1, c.1\}, X\}$.

We choose fuzzy sets $\lambda = \{a.7, b.5, c.5\}$ and $\psi = \{a.0, b.0, c.5\}$, then calculations give that

$$\text{sIntsCl}\lambda = \{a.7, b.5, c.5\} / \{a.0, b.5, c.5\} = \text{IntCl}\lambda; \quad (7)$$

$$\text{IntCl}\psi \vee \psi = \{a.0, b.0, c.5\} / \{a.0, b.0, c.0\} = \text{sIntsCl}\psi.$$

Theorem 5. For any fuzzy set λ in an fts X , we have

$$(1) (\text{sInt}\lambda)^c = \text{sCl}(\lambda^c),$$

$$(2) (\text{sCl}\lambda)^c = \text{sInt}(\lambda^c).$$

Proof. (1)

$$(\text{sInt}\lambda)^c = I - \text{sInt}\lambda$$

$$= I - \bigvee \{ \mu \mid \mu \in \text{FSO}(X) \text{ and } \mu \leq \lambda \}$$

$$= \bigwedge \{ I - \mu \mid \mu \in \text{FSO}(X) \text{ and } \mu \leq \lambda \} \quad (8)$$

$$= \bigwedge \{ \psi \mid \psi^c \in \text{FSO}(X) \text{ and } \psi \geq \lambda^c \},$$

where $\psi = I - \mu$

$$= \text{sCl}(\lambda^c).$$

(2) Similar to (1).

4. Fuzzy S-Open and Fuzzy S-Closed Mappings

First, we define

Definition 5. A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be fuzzy s-open (resp., fuzzy s-closed) if the image of every fuzzy semiopen (resp., fuzzy semi-closed) set is fuzzy open (resp., fuzzy closed).

Obviously a fuzzy s-open function is fuzzy open.

Definition 6 (see [31]). A fuzzy point e is called a boundary point of a fuzzy set λ if and only if $e \in Cl\lambda \wedge Cl\lambda^c$. The union of all the boundary points of λ is called a boundary of λ , denoted by $Bd\lambda$. It is clear that

$$Bd\lambda = Cl\lambda \wedge Cl\lambda^c. \quad (9)$$

Next, we define

Definition 7. Semiboundary (briefly sBd) of a fuzzy set λ in an fts X is defined as

$$sBd\lambda = sCl\lambda \wedge sCl\lambda^c. \quad (10)$$

In the following, we characterize fuzzy s-open mappings in terms of sInt, sCl, and sBd.

Theorem 6. For a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, a fuzzy set α in an fts X and a fuzzy set β in an fts Y , then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy s-open;
- (2) $f(sInt\alpha) \leq Int f(\alpha)$;
- (3) $sInt f^{-1}(\beta) \leq f^{-1}(Int\beta)$;
- (4) $f^{-1}(Cl\beta) \leq sCl(f^{-1}(\beta))$;
- (5) $f^{-1}(Bd(\beta)) \leq sBd(f^{-1}(\beta))$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) obviously $f(sInt\alpha) \leq f(\alpha)$. f is fuzzy s-open gives $f(sInt\alpha)$ that is fuzzy open in Y . But $Int f(\alpha)$ is the largest fuzzy open set such that $Int f(\alpha) \leq f(\alpha)$. Therefore $f(sInt\alpha) \leq Int f(\alpha)$, for any fuzzy set α in X . This gives (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) For any fuzzy set β in Y , $f^{-1}(\beta) = \alpha$ is a fuzzy set in X . Then by (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\text{sintf}^{-1}(\beta)) &\leq \text{Int}(f(f^{-1}(\beta))) \leq \text{Int}(\beta) \\
 \text{or } f(\text{sintf}^{-1}(\beta)) &\leq \text{Int}\beta \text{ or } \text{sintf}^{-1}(\beta) \\
 f^{-1}f(\text{sintf}^{-1}(\beta)) &\leq f^{-1}(\text{Int}\beta) \text{ or } \text{sintf}^{-1}(\beta) \leq f^{-1}(\text{Int}\beta)
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives (3).

(3) ⇒ (4) By (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{sintf}^{-1}(\beta) &\leq f^{-1}(\text{Int}\beta) \\
 (f^{-1}(\text{Int}\beta))^c &\leq (\text{sintf}^{-1}(\beta))^c \quad (12) \\
 = \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\beta))^c &\quad (\text{by Theorem 5(1)})
 \end{aligned}$$

or $(f^{-1}(\text{Int}\beta))^c \leq \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\beta))^c$ or $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}\beta^c) \leq \text{sCl}f^{-1}(\beta^c)$
 or $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}\psi) \leq \text{sCl}f^{-1}(\psi)$ where $\psi = \beta^c$, a fuzzy set in Y . This gives (4). (4) ⇒ (5) For a fuzzy set β in Y , $\text{Bd}\beta = \text{Cl}\beta \wedge \text{Cl}(\beta^c)$ is a fuzzy closed set in Y . Now $f^{-1}(\text{Bd}\beta) = f^{-1}(\text{Cl}\beta) \wedge f^{-1}(\text{Cl}\beta^c)$. Using (4) we have

$$f^{-1}(\text{Bd}\beta) \leq \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\beta) \wedge \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\beta^c))) \quad (13)$$

Or

$$f^{-1}(\text{Bd}\beta) \leq \text{sCl}f^{-1}(\beta) \wedge \text{sCl}(f^{-1}(\beta))^c = \text{sBdf}^{-1}(\beta) \quad (14)$$

This gives (5).

In the following, we give characterizations of fuzzy s-closed mappings as follows.

Theorem 7. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy s-closed if and only if $\text{Cl} f(\lambda) \leq f(\text{sCl}\lambda)$, for each fuzzy set λ in an fts X . Proof. (⇒) Obviously $f(\lambda) \leq f(\text{sCl}\lambda)$. $f(\text{sCl}\lambda)$ is fuzzy closed, since f is fuzzy s-closed. But $\text{Cl} f(\lambda)$ is the smallest fuzzy closed set with $f(\lambda) \leq \text{Cl} f(\lambda)$. Therefore $\text{Cl} f(\lambda) \leq f(\text{sCl}\lambda)$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\lambda \in \text{FSC}(X)$. We show that $f(\lambda)$ is fuzzy closed. By hypothesis, $\text{Cl } f(\lambda) \leq f(\text{sCl } \lambda) = f(\lambda)$ or $\text{Cl } f(\lambda) \leq f(\lambda)$. This proves that $f(\lambda)$ is fuzzy closed.

Theorem 8. If a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy s-closed then for each fuzzy set β in an fts Y and each fuzzy semi-open set μ in an fts X with $\mu \geq f^{-1}(\beta)$, there exists a fuzzy open set v in Y with $v \geq \beta$ such that $f^{-1}(v) \leq \mu$.

Proof. Let μ be an arbitrary fuzzy semi-open set in X with $\mu \geq f^{-1}(\beta)$, where β is a fuzzy set in Y . Clearly $(f(\mu))^c = v$ (say) is fuzzy open in Y . Since $f^{-1}(\beta) \leq \mu$, then straightforward calculations give that $\beta \leq v$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(v) &= f^{-1}(f(\mu))^c \\ &= (f^{-1}f(\mu))^c \leq \mu \\ \text{or } f^{-1}(v) &\leq \mu. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Theorem 9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective function from an fts X to an fts Y . If for each fuzzy set β in Y and each fuzzy semi-open set μ in X with $\mu \geq f^{-1}(\beta)$, there exists a fuzzy open set v in Y with $v \geq \beta$ such that $f^{-1}(v) \leq \mu$, then f is s-closed. Proof. Let ψ be an arbitrary fuzzy semi-closed set in X and $y \in (f(\psi))^c$. Then

$$f^{-1}(y) \leq f^{-1}(f(\psi))^c = (f^{-1}f(\psi))^c \leq \psi^c \tag{16}$$

or $f^{-1}(y) \leq \psi^c$. Since ψ^c is fuzzy semi-open, therefore there exists a fuzzy open set v_y with $y \in v_y$ such that $f^{-1}(v_y) \leq \psi^c$. Since f is surjective, we have $y \in v_y \leq (f(\psi))^c$. Thus $(f(\psi))^c = \bigvee \{ v_y \mid y \in (f(\psi))^c \}$ is fuzzy open in Y or $f(\psi)$ is fuzzy closed in Y . This proves that f is s-closed.

Combining Theorems 8 and 9, we have the following.

Theorem 10. A surjective function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is fuzzy s-closed if and only if for each fuzzy set β in Y and each fuzzy semi-open set μ in X with $\mu \geq f^{-1}(\beta)$, there exists a fuzzy open set v in Y with $v \geq \beta$ such that $f^{-1}(v) \leq \mu$.

References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information and Computation*, vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [2] C. L. Chang, "Fuzzy topological spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 24, pp. 182–190, 1968.

[3] S. Du, Q. Qin, Q. Wang, and B. Li, "Fuzzy description of topological relations I: a unified fuzzy 9-intersection model," in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Advances in Natural Computation (ICNC '05), vol. 3612 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 1261–1273, Changsha, China, August 2005.

[4] M. J. Egenhofer and R. D. Franzosa, "Point-set topological spatial relations," International Journal of Geographical Information Systems, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 161–174, 1991.

[5] M. J. Egenhofer and J. Herring, "Categorizing binary topological relations between regions, lines and points in geographic databases," Tech. Rep., Department of Surveying Engineering, University of Maine, Orono, Me, USA, 1991.

[6] M. S. El Naschie, "On the uncertainty of Cantorian geometry and the two-slit experiment," Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 517–529, 1998.

[7] M. S. El Naschie, "On the certification of heterotic strings, M theory and $e\infty$ theory," Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, pp. 2397–2408, 2000.

[8] X. Tang, Spatial object modeling in fuzzy topological spaces with applications to land cover change in China, Ph. D. dissertation, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands, 2004, ITC Dissertation no. 108.

[9] N. Levine, "Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces," The American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 70, pp. 36–41, 1963.

[10] A. Rosenfeld, "Digital topology," The American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 86, no. 8, pp. 621–630, 1979.

[11] J. Cao, M. Ganster, and I. Reilly, "Submaximality, extremal disconnectedness and generalized closed sets," Houston Journal of Mathematics, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 681–688, 1998. [12] A. Rosenfeld, "Fuzzy digital topology," Information and Control, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 76–87, 1979.

[13] K. K. Azad, "On fuzzy semi-continuity, fuzzy almost continuity and fuzzy weakly continuity," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 82, no. 1, pp. 14–32, 1981.

[14] S. E. Abbas, "Fuzzy super irresolute functions," International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, no. 42, pp. 2689–2700, 2003.

- [15] B. Ahmad and M. A. Kharal, "On some fuzzy mappings," *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 341–349, 2008.
- [16] M. Athar and B. Ahmad, "Fuzzy boundary and fuzzy semiboundary," *Advances in Fuzzy Systems*, vol. 2008, Article ID 586893, 9 pages, 2008.
- [17] B. Ahmad and M. Athar, "Fuzzy almost continuous functions," *International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 33–36, pp. 1665–1677, 2008.
- [18] M. Caldas, G. Navalagi, and R. Saraf, "On fuzzy weakly semiopen functions," *Proyecciones*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 51–63, 2002.
- [19] M. Caldas, G. Navalagi, and R. Saraf, "Weakly θ -closed functions between fuzzy topological spaces," *Matematichki Vesnik*, vol. 54, no. 1-2, pp. 13–20, 2002.
- [20] M. C. Cueva and R. K. Saraf, "Preserving fuzzy sg-closed sets," *Proyecciones*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 127–138, 2001.
- [21] D. N. Georgiou and B. K. Papadopoulos, "On fuzzy θ convergences," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 385–399, 2000.
- [22] B. Ghosh, "Semi-continuous and semi-closed mappings and semi-connectedness in fuzzy setting," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 345–355, 1990.
- [23] I. M. Hanafy, "Fuzzy β -compactness and fuzzy β -closed spaces," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 281–293, 2004.
- [24] S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, "Fuzzy r -continuous and fuzzy r semicontinuous maps," *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 53–63, 2001.
- [25] M. A. Kharal and B. Ahmad, "On some fuzzy mappings II," to appear in *Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*.
- [26] T. H. Yalvac, "Semi-interior and semiclosure of a fuzzy set," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 132, no. 2, pp. 356–364, 1988.
- [27] A. M. Zahran, "Regularly open sets and a good extension on fuzzy topological spaces," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 353–359, 2000.
- [28] D. E. Cameron and G. Woods, "s-continuous and s-open mappings," preprint, 1987.

[29]M. Khan and B. Ahmad, "On s-continuous, s-open and sclosed mappings," Punjab University Journal of Mathematics, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 107–114, 2001.

[30]S. P. Sinha and S. Malakar, "On s-closed fuzzy topological spaces," Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 95–103, 1994.

[31]P. Pao-Ming and L. Ying-Ming, "Fuzzy topology—II: product and quotient spaces," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 20–37, 1980.