

RING-THEORETIC STRUCTURES WHICH DO NOT INCLUDE "CLOSEDNESS" CONSTRAINTS

PRAVIN KUMAR SINGH

Research scholar

Department of Mathematics

LNMU Darbhanga Bihar

Abstract. In this paper, we analyze the ring $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5] = \nabla + \mathcal{L}^4\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ and establish some parallel results to the polynomial ring $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$. Our main objective is to identify that $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a UMT domain except if ∇ is a UMT domain.

Keywords. Eulerian property, integral domain, ideal, UMT domain.

1. Introduction

Consider ∇ as an integral domain, let \mathcal{L} be an indeterminate domain over ∇ , and let $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ be a polynomial ring over ∇ . A nonzero prime ideal ∇' of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ is referred to as upper to zero in $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ if ∇' of $\nabla = (0)$ is defined. We state that ∇ is a UMT-domain if each upper to zero in $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ is the maximum t-ideal of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$. Houston and Zafrullah presented the notion of UMT-domains in 1989.

Throughout the whole manuscript, ∇ represents an integral domain with quotient field ∇' . For $\varphi \in \nabla''[\mathcal{L}]$, also considering \mathcal{A}_φ is the fractional ideal of ∇ which is generated by the coefficients of φ . The idea of multiplicative ideal theory is better explained by Gilmer [2] whereas the commutative ring theory is discussed in detail by Kaplansky [8]. The important Lemmas to support our focal results are proved to make the manuscript self-contained and are as follows :

Lemma 2.1 Let \mathfrak{I} be a nonzero fractional ideal of \mathcal{V} . Then

$$(a) \ (\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1} = (\mathfrak{I})^{-1} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5].$$

$$(b) \ (\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\vartheta} = (\mathfrak{I})_{\vartheta} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5].$$

$$(c) \ (\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\tau} = (\mathfrak{I})_{\tau} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5].$$

Proof.(a) It is obvious that $(\mathfrak{I})^{-1} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is an subset of $(\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1}$. It is important to note that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1}$ is subset of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is subset of $\nabla'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$, it results

$$(\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1} \text{ is subset of } \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5].$$

If φ belongs to $(\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi} \mathfrak{I}$ is a subset of ∇ . And hence we have, $\varphi \in \mathcal{A} \varphi \nabla \mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5$ is a subset of $(\mathfrak{I})^{-1} \nabla \mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5$. Results,

$$(\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1} = (\mathfrak{I})^{-1} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5].$$

(b) Using (a),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\vartheta} &= ((\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1})^{-1}_{\vartheta} \\ &= ((\mathfrak{I})^{-1} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])^{-1}_{\vartheta} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\mathfrak{I})_{\vartheta} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5].$$

(c) it is obvious that if $(\varphi)_1, (\varphi)_2, (\varphi)_3, (\varphi)_4 \dots (\varphi)_k \in \mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ and hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} &((\varphi)_1, (\varphi)_2, (\varphi)_3, (\varphi)_4 \dots (\varphi)_k) \\ &\subseteq ((\mathcal{A}(\varphi)_1, \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_2, \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_3, \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_4 \dots \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_k) \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\vartheta} \\ &= ((\mathcal{A}(\varphi)_1, \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_2, \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_3, \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_4 \dots \mathcal{A}(\varphi)_k))_{\vartheta} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5] \\ &\subseteq (\mathfrak{I})_{\tau} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5] \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$(\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\tau}$ is a subset of $(\mathfrak{I})_{\tau} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$. Contrarily, let nonempty Γ be a finitely generated subideal of \mathfrak{I} . Then $(\Gamma)_{\vartheta} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5] = (\Gamma \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\vartheta}$ is a subset of $(\mathfrak{I} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\tau}$ by (b). Which results $(\mathfrak{I})_{\tau} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a subset of $(\Gamma \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\tau} = (\Gamma)_{\tau} \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$.

LEMMA 2. Let ∇' be a maximal t – ideal of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ such that $\nabla' \cap \nabla$ is nonempty. Then $\nabla' = (\nabla' \cap \nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$. Particularly, $\nabla' \cap \nabla$ is a maximal t – ideal of ∇ .

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that

$c(\mathcal{V}')[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a subset of \mathcal{V}' . \mathcal{V}' generated an ideal $c(\mathcal{V}')$ with the help of coefficients of all the polynomials in \mathcal{V}' . If $c(\mathcal{V}')$ is not a subset of \mathcal{V}' , which gives \mathcal{V}' is a subset of $c(\mathcal{V}')[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$.

For \mathcal{V}' Being a maximal t – ideal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c(\mathcal{V}'))_{\tau}[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5] &= (c(\mathcal{V}')[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\tau} \\ &= \mathcal{V}'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]. \end{aligned}$$

It results,

$$(c(\mathcal{V}'))_{\tau} = \mathcal{V}';$$

Whenever there is an existence of a polynomial φ belongs to \mathcal{V}' in such a way that

$$(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi})_{\vartheta} = \mathcal{V}'.$$

Consider a nonempty α is an element of $\mathcal{V}' \cap \mathcal{V}$.

Claiming the condition $(\alpha, \varphi)^{-1} = \mathcal{V}'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$. Since γ is an arbitrarily chosen of $(\alpha, \varphi)^{-1}$ and $\alpha\gamma \in \mathcal{V}'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$, it is to be noted that $(\alpha, \varphi)^{-1}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{V}'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$. Also, if $\in (\alpha, \varphi)^{-1}$, there is an existence of an integer $\mu \geq 1$ in such a way $\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}^{\mu+1} \mathcal{A}_{\varphi\gamma}$ [2]. And hence $(\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}^{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\varphi\gamma})_{\vartheta} = ((\mathcal{A}_{\varphi}^{\mu})_{\vartheta} \mathcal{A}_{\varphi\gamma})_{\vartheta} = (\mathcal{A}_{\varphi\gamma})_{\vartheta}$ is a subset of \mathcal{V}' .

Results,

$\gamma \in \mathcal{A}_{\varphi}[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a subset of $\mathcal{V}'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$,

Hence,

$(\alpha, \varphi)^{-1} = \mathcal{V}'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$, which gives $(\alpha, \varphi)_{\vartheta} = \mathcal{V}'[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$, which is a contradictory statement because \mathcal{V}' is a t – ideal. Now, we have

$$c(\mathcal{V}')[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5] = \mathcal{V}'$$

And hence finally we have

$$\mathcal{V}' = (\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{V}')[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5].$$

As in [1], \mathcal{V} is referred to as the UMT-domain if it is any upper to zero (nonzero

prime). The ideal of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ which contracting to zero in is the maximum $t - ideal$. Recollect that $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ is a UMT-domain if and only if ∇ is a UMT-domain [6, Theorem 3.4]. As just a consequence of our next result, $(\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a UMT-domain if and only if $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]$ is a UMT domain.

Theorem. $(\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a UMT iff ∇ is a UMT-domain.

Proof. Considering $(\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ as a UMT-domain. Assuming a maximal $t - ideal$ β of ∇ . Then by using Lemma [2], a maximal $t - ideal$ $(\beta\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ of $(\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$. Also, it is to be noted that $(\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]_{\beta\nabla(\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]} = (\nabla)[\mathcal{L}]_{\beta[\mathcal{L}]}$. It is given that, $(\nabla)[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a UMT-domain, $\nabla[\mathcal{L}]_{\beta[\mathcal{L}]}$ is a $t - linkative$ UMT-domain [6], which gives ∇_{β} is a $t - linkative$ UMT-domain. Which results that ∇ is a UMT-domain.

Converse,

Considering a UMT-domain ∇ . It is to prove that $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ is a UMT-domain. Now it will be more than sufficient to show that ∇' is a maximal ideal of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$, then there is an existence of prufer domain of integral closure of $(\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5])_{\nabla'}$.

Considering a maximal $t - ideal$ ∇' of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ and let $\nabla' \cap \nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5] = \beta$. For nonempty β , $\nabla' = \beta[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$. Additionally, since \mathcal{L}^4 doesn't belongs to $\beta[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]$ we have $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]_{\nabla'} = \nabla[\mathcal{L}]_{\beta[\mathcal{L}]}$.

Thus we have a Prufer domain which is the integral closure of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]_{\nabla'}$. For zero β , then $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]_{\nabla'} = \nabla''[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]_{\nabla'\nabla''[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]}$, which shows that $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]_{\nabla'}$ is uni-dimensional Noetherian domain which results that we have Dedekind domain which is nothing but the integral closure of $\nabla[\mathcal{L}^4, \mathcal{L}^5]_{\nabla'}$ and hence Prufer domain.

References

- [1] E. Houston and M. Zafrullah, On t -invertibility II, Comm. Algebra 17(8) (1989), 1955-1969
- [2] R. Gilmer, Multiplicative Ideal Theory, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972
- [3] D.D. Anderson, D.F. Anderson, and M. Zafrullah, Rings between $D[X]$ and $K[X]$, Houston J. Math. 17 (1991), 109-129

- [4] D.F. Anderson, The class group and local class group of an integral domain, in Non-Noetherian Ring Theory, Math. Appl., Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht 520 (2000), 33-55.
- [5] G.W. Chang, On the Principal Ideal Theorem, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 36 (1999), 655-660.
- [6] M. Fontana, S. Gabelli, and E. Houston, UMT-domains and domains with Priifer integral closure, Comm. Algebra 26(4) (1998), 1017-1039.
- [7] S. Gabelli, On divisorial ideals in polynomial rings over Mori domains, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 2349-2370
- [8] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, revised edition, Univ. of Chicago Press, 1974.
- [9] T.Y. Lam, Lectures on Modules and Rings, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [10] M. Nagata, Local Rings, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [11] M. Roitman, On polynomial extensions of Mori domains over countable fields, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 64 (1990), 315-328.